

# Vertex-minors of graphs: A survey

Donggyu Kim<sup>\*2,1</sup> and Sang-il Oum<sup>\*1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Discrete Mathematics Group, Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Daejeon, South Korea

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, KAIST, Daejeon, South Korea

Email: donggyu@kaist.ac.kr, sangil@ibs.re.kr

October 10, 2023

## Abstract

For a graph with a vertex  $v$ , the local complementation at  $v$  is an operation that replaces the neighborhood of  $v$  by its complement graph. Two graphs are locally equivalent if one is obtained from the other by a sequence of local complementations. A graph  $H$  is a vertex-minor of a graph  $G$  if  $H$  is an induced subgraph of a graph locally equivalent to  $G$ . Although this concept was introduced in the 1980s, it was not widely known and except for the survey paper of Bouchet published in 1990, there is no comprehensive survey listing all the new developments. We survey classic and recent theorems and conjectures on vertex-minors and related concepts such as circle graphs, cut-rank functions, rank-width, interlace polynomials, and isotropic systems.

## 1 Introduction

We aim to survey known results and conjectures for vertex-minors of graphs. In this paper, all graphs are simple, meaning that neither loops nor parallel edges are allowed. For a vertex  $v$  of a graph  $G$ , we write  $G - v$  to denote the graph obtained by deleting the vertex  $v$  and all edges incident with  $v$ .

Let us start with their definitions. Vertex-minors are defined in terms of two graph operations, local complementations and vertex deletions. The *local complementation* of a graph  $G$  at a vertex  $v$  is an operation to obtain a new graph denoted by  $G * v$  from  $G$  by ‘toggling’ the adjacencies between all pairs of neighbors of  $v$ , see Figure 1. In other words, two distinct vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent in  $G * v$  if and only if exactly one of the following holds.

- (a)  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent in  $G$ .
- (b) Both  $x$  and  $y$  are neighbors of  $v$  in  $G$ .

Two graphs are *locally equivalent* if one is obtained from the other by a sequence of local complementations. A graph  $H$  is a *vertex-minor* of a graph  $G$  if  $H$  is an induced subgraph of a graph locally equivalent to  $G$ . The name ‘vertex-minor’ first appeared in Oum [Oum05] but it appeared previously under the various names such as l-reduction [Bou94] and i-minor [Bou87d]. According to Bouchet [Bou90], local complementations were introduced by Kotzig [Kot68, Kot77].

For two adjacent vertices  $x$  and  $y$ , we write  $G \wedge xy$  to denote  $G * x * y * x$ . It is easy to check that  $G * x * y * x = G * y * x * y$  and so this operation is well defined and is called the *pivot* operation. We note that  $G \wedge xy$  could be obtained from  $G$  by toggling the adjacency between every pair of vertices in two different sets among  $N_G(x) - (N_G(y) \cup \{y\})$ ,  $N_G(x) \cap N_G(y)$ , and

---

\*Supported by the Institute for Basic Science (IBS-R029-C1).




Figure 1: Examples of local complementations and pivotings.




Figure 2: Two circle graphs  $G$  and  $G * 1$  with their chord diagrams. The chord diagram for  $G * 1$  is obtained by flipping one side of the circle divided by the chord representing 1.

$N_G(y) - (N_G(x) \cup \{x\})$  and then switching labels of  $x$  and  $y$ , see Figure 1. Another graphical description was given in Oum [Oum05] along with the proof of the following well-known fact:

$$G \wedge xy \wedge yz = G \wedge xz.$$

Two graphs are *pivot-equivalent* if one is obtained from the other by a sequence of pivotings. A graph  $H$  is a *pivot-minor* of a graph  $G$  if  $H$  is an induced subgraph of a graph pivot-equivalent to  $G$ .

In Section 2, we provide motivation for the vertex-minor theory by exploring the concepts related to circle graphs. In Sections 3 and 4, we review some basic properties of local equivalences and vertex-minors. In Sections 5–8, we survey the cut-rank function of a graph, which is a connectivity function for vertex-minors. In Sections 9 and 10, we give structural theorems for the vertex-minor, and in Section 11, we present recent progress on  $\chi$ -boundedness for vertex-minor-closed classes of graphs. Section 12 provides some algorithmic results on vertex-minors, and in Sections 13 and 14, we review interlace polynomials and isotropic systems, respectively. Section 15 concludes this survey with several conjectures motivated by the Graph Minors Project of Robertson and Seymour.

## 2 Circle graphs and vertex-minors

Circle graphs are one of the major examples of classes of graphs closed under taking vertex-minors. A *circle graph* is the intersection graph of chords in a circle. In other words, a circle graph is represented by a chord diagram where vertices are chords and two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are intersecting. It is straightforward to see that if  $G$  is a circle graph, then so is  $G * v$  for every vertex  $v$  of  $G$ ; this can be achieved by taking the chord represented by  $v$  in the chord diagram representing  $G$  and reversing one side of the circle to obtain the chord diagram of  $G * v$ , see Figure 2. Since deleting a chord in a chord diagram corresponds to deleting a vertex in the associated circle graph, every vertex-minor of a circle graph is also a circle graph.

Bouchet [Bou94] proved the following analog of Kuratowski's theorem on planar graphs for circle graphs. Lee [Lee17] presented an alternative proof.

**Theorem 2.1** (Bouchet [Bou94]). *A graph is a circle graph if and only if it has no vertex-minor isomorphic to  $W_5$ ,  $F_7$ , or  $W_7$  in Figure 3.*




Figure 3: Vertex-minor obstructions for the class of circle graphs.




Figure 4: Planar graphs and bipartite circle graphs.

Robertson and Seymour [RS86] proved one of the central theorems in the graph minor theory, that is, the class of graphs with no minor isomorphic to a graph  $H$  has bounded tree-width if and only if  $H$  is planar. Geelen, Kwon, McCarty, and Wollan [GKMW23] proved an analogous theorem for vertex-minors with circle graphs. The definition of the rank-width will be reviewed in Section 5.

**Theorem 2.2** (Geelen, Kwon, McCarty, and Wollan [GKMW23]). *The class of graphs with no vertex-minor isomorphic to a graph  $H$  has bounded rank-width if and only if  $H$  is a circle graph.*

As planar graphs play an important role in the graph minor theory, circle graphs play a similar role in the vertex-minor theory, witnessed by the previous theorem. This is not a coincidence because there is a connection between planar graphs and bipartite circle graphs as follows. The *fundamental graph* of a graph  $G$  with respect to a maximal acyclic subgraph  $T$  of  $G$  is a bipartite graph  $H$  with a bipartition  $(E(T), E(G) - E(T))$  such that  $x \in E(T)$  and  $y \in E(G) - E(T)$  are adjacent in  $H$  if and only if  $x$  is in the unique cycle of  $T + y$ .

**Theorem 2.3** (de Fraysseix [dF81]). *A bipartite graph is a circle graph if and only if it is a fundamental graph of a planar graph.*

Figure 4 illustrates a proof of Theorem 2.3. The class of bipartite circle graph is closed under taking pivot-minors, and it is related to planar graphs with minors. Let  $H$  be a bipartite circle graph which is the fundamental graph of a planar graph  $G$  with respect to a maximal acyclic subgraph  $T$ . Then for each  $x \in E(T)$  and  $y \in E(G) - E(T)$ ,

- (a)  $H - x$  is the fundamental graph of  $G/x$  with respect to  $T/x$ ,
- (b)  $H - y$  is the fundamental graph of  $G - y$  with respect to  $T$ , and
- (c) if  $xy \in E(H)$ , then  $H \wedge xy$  is the fundamental graph of  $G$  with respect to  $T - x + y$ .

More generally, pivot-minors of bipartite graphs are associated with minors of binary matroids, see Oum [Oum05].

Conjectures and theorems on pivot-minors sometimes imply their counterpart on minors of graphs and minors of binary matroids. For instance, Geelen and Oum [GO09] proved a pivot-minor analog of Theorem 2.1 and their result implies Kuratowski's theorem. They showed that




Figure 5: The cycle graph  $C_n$  on  $n$  vertices has at least  $2^{\lfloor (n-4)/2 \rfloor}$  pairwise non-isomorphic vertex-minors locally equivalent to  $C_{n-1}$ . This can be seen from  $C_{n-1} * v_{n-2} * v_{n-1}$  by applying local complementations at some of vertices in  $\{v_2, v_4, v_6, \dots, v_{2\lfloor (n-4)/2 \rfloor}\}$ .

there is a list  $L$  of 15 graphs such that a graph is a circle graph if and only if it has no pivot-minor isomorphic to any graph in  $L$ . In  $L$ , there are exactly three bipartite graphs  $F_7$ ,  $H_1$ , and  $H_2$ , where  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are fundamental graphs of  $K_{3,3}$  and  $K_5$ , respectively. Now we explain how this implies Kuratowski's theorem. Let  $G$  be a non-planar graph. Then its fundamental graph  $H$  is a non-circle bipartite graph by Theorem 2.3. Hence  $H$  has a pivot-minor isomorphic to  $F_7$ ,  $H_1$ , or  $H_2$  by Geelen and Oum [GO09]. Equivalently,  $G$  has a minor isomorphic to a graph  $G'$  which has  $F_7$ ,  $H_1$ , or  $H_2$  as a fundamental graph. Note that  $F_7$  is a fundamental graph of the Fano matroid, and a connected binary matroid is determined by its fundamental graph up to duality. If  $G'$  has a fundamental graph isomorphic to  $F_7$ , then the cycle matroid  $M(G')$  of  $G'$  is isomorphic to the Fano matroid or its dual, contradicting the well-known fact that the Fano matroid is not regular. Hence  $G'$  is isomorphic to  $H_1$  or  $H_2$ . Then  $M(G')$  is isomorphic to one of  $M(K_{3,3})$ ,  $M(K_5)$ , and their duals. Because neither  $M^*(K_{3,3})$  nor  $M^*(K_5)$  is graphic,  $M(G')$  is isomorphic to  $M(K_{3,3})$  or  $M(K_5)$ . As every 3-connected graph is determined by its cycle matroid [Whi33],  $G'$  is isomorphic to either  $K_{3,3}$  or  $K_5$ . Therefore,  $G$  contains a minor isomorphic to  $K_{3,3}$  or  $K_5$ , implying Kuratowski's theorem.

### 3 Vertex-minors with one fewer vertex

For a graph  $G$  and a vertex  $v$ , there can be exponentially many vertex-minors of  $G$  on  $V(G) - \{v\}$ , even if we count vertex-minors up to isomorphisms. For instance, the cycle graph on  $n$  vertices has at least  $2^{\Omega(n)}$  non-isomorphic vertex-minors on  $n - 1$  vertices; see Figure 5. However, the following theorem, proved by Bouchet and Fon-Der-Flaass independently, ensures that essentially there are only three ways to remove one vertex to have a vertex-minor.

**Theorem 3.1** (Bouchet [Bou88a, (9.2)] and Fon-Der-Flaass [FDF88, Corollary 4.3]). *Let  $H$  be a vertex-minor of a graph  $G$  and  $v$  be a vertex in  $V(G) - V(H)$ . Then  $H$  is a vertex-minor of one of  $G - v$ ,  $G * v - v$ , and  $G \wedge vw - v$  for some neighbor  $w$  of  $v$ .*

We note that the choice of  $w$  in Theorem 3.1 does not change the outcome up to pivot-equivalence, as  $(G \wedge vw) - v = ((G \wedge vw') - v) \wedge ww'$  for distinct neighbors  $w$  and  $w'$  of  $v$  in  $G$ . Bouchet [Bou88a] proved Theorem 3.1 using isotropic systems, which will be reviewed in Section 14. Fon-Der-Flaass [FDF88] proved the theorem in graph-theoretic notions. Sometimes it is convenient to use the following form presented in Geelen and Oum [GO09, Lemma 3.2].

**Lemma 3.2** (Geelen and Oum [GO09, Lemma 3.1]). *Let  $G$  be a graph and  $v$  and  $w$  be vertices.*

- (i) *If  $v \neq w$  and  $v$  is non-adjacent to  $w$ , then  $(G * w) - v$ ,  $(G * w * v) - v$ , and  $(G * w) \wedge vv' - v$  for some neighbor  $v'$  of  $v$  in  $G * w$  are locally equivalent to  $G - v$ ,  $(G * v) - v$ , and  $G \wedge vv'' - v$  for some neighbor  $v''$  of  $v$  in  $G$ , respectively.*




Figure 6: Local complementations are not commutable.

- (ii) If  $v \neq w$  and  $v$  is adjacent to  $w$ , then  $(G * w) - v$ ,  $(G * w * v) - v$ , and  $(G * w) \wedge vv' - v$  for some neighbor  $v'$  of  $v$  in  $G * w$  are locally equivalent to  $G - v$ ,  $G \wedge vv'' - v$ , and  $(G * v) - v$  for some neighbor  $v''$  of  $v$  in  $G$ , respectively.
- (iii) If  $v = w$ , then  $(G * w) - v$ ,  $(G * w * v) - v$ , and  $(G * w) \wedge vv' - v$  for some neighbor  $v'$  of  $v$  are locally equivalent to  $(G * v) - v$ ,  $G - v$ , and  $G \wedge vv'' - v$  for some neighbor  $v''$  of  $v$ , respectively.

Lemma 3.2 immediately implies Theorem 3.1. If  $H$  is a vertex-minor of a graph  $G$  and  $v \in V(G) - V(H)$ , then there is a graph  $G'$  locally equivalent to  $G$  such that  $H$  is an induced subgraph of  $G' - v$ . By successively applying Lemma 3.2, we deduce that  $G' - v$  is locally equivalent to one of  $G - v$ ,  $G * v - v$ , and  $G \wedge vv - v$  for some neighbor  $w$  of  $v$ .

## 4 Various properties about local equivalence of graphs

Local complementations do not commute; see Figure 6. Hence when we enumerate a sequence of local complementations, there might have many vertices appearing more than once. Fon-Der-Flaass [FDF88] showed that for every pair of local equivalent graphs, there is a good sequence of local complementations and pivotings avoiding redundancy.

**Theorem 4.1** (Fon-Der-Flaass [FDF88, Corollary 4.2]). *Let  $G$  and  $H$  be locally equivalent graphs. Then there is a sequence of local complementations and pivotings such that all vertices used for local complementations and all ends of edges used for pivotings appear only once.*

Pivot-equivalent graphs can be explained by a matrix operation originated from Tucker [Tuc60]. For a  $V \times V$  matrix  $A$  and a subset  $X \subseteq V$ , we write  $A[X]$  to denote its  $X \times X$  principal submatrix. Let

$$A = \begin{array}{c} X \quad Y \\ X \left( \begin{array}{cc} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{array} \right) \\ Y \end{array}.$$

If  $A[X] = \alpha$  is nonsingular, then let

$$A * X = \begin{array}{c} X \quad Y \\ X \left( \begin{array}{cc} \alpha^{-1} & \alpha^{-1}\beta \\ -\gamma\alpha^{-1} & \delta - \gamma\alpha^{-1}\beta \end{array} \right) \\ Y \end{array}.$$

This operation is called the *pivoting* or the *principal pivoting*. Tucker [Tuc60] proved that  $(A * X)[Y]$  is nonsingular if and only if  $A[X \triangle Y]$  is nonsingular. Here,  $X \triangle Y = (X - Y) \cup (Y - X)$ .

Let us write  $A(G)$  to denote the adjacency matrix of a graph  $G$  over the binary field. It turns out that

$$A(G \wedge uv) = A(G) * \{u, v\}.$$

Thus we observe the following.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Two graphs  $G$  and  $H$  on the vertex set  $V$  are pivot-equivalent if and only if  $A(G) = A(H) * X$  for some  $X \subseteq V$  with nonsingular  $A(H)[X]$ .*

This means that if  $H = G \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 \cdots \wedge e_k$ , then  $V(e_1) \Delta V(e_2) \Delta \cdots \Delta V(e_k)$  determines  $H$  and conversely if  $H$  and  $G$  are pivot-equivalent, then we can choose edges  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k$  such that  $H = G \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 \cdots \wedge e_k$  and  $V(e_i) \cap V(e_j) = \emptyset$  for all  $i \neq j$ , because for any nonsingular non-trivial skew-symmetric matrix  $X$ , one can find a  $2 \times 2$  nonsingular principal submatrix to apply pivoting.

Also, note that if  $A(G) = A(H) * X$ , then  $A(G)[Y]$  is nonsingular if and only if  $A(H)[X \Delta Y]$  is nonsingular by Tucker [Tuc60]. So we deduce the following.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $G$  and  $H$  be graphs on the vertex set  $V$ . Then  $G$  and  $H$  are pivot-equivalent if and only if there exists  $X \subseteq V$  such that*

$$\{Y : Y \subseteq V \text{ and } \det A(G)[Y] \neq 0\} = \{Y \Delta X : Y \subseteq V \text{ and } \det A(H)[Y] \neq 0\}.$$

The above lemma is the essence of *even binary delta-matroids*. For a graph  $G$  on  $V$ , the pair  $(V, \mathcal{F})$  with  $\mathcal{F} = \{X \subseteq V : \det A(G)[X] \neq 0\}$  defines even binary delta-matroids introduced by Bouchet [Bou88b]. Bouchet introduced delta-matroids more generally [Bou87a] and pivot-minors of graphs can be explained as minors of even binary delta-matroids. This relation is useful when studying pivot-minors of graphs.

Here is another theorem on two locally equivalent graphs.

**Theorem 4.4** (Fon-Der-Flaass [FDF89, FDF96]). *Let  $G$  and  $H$  be locally equivalent graphs. Then there are vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  and edges  $e_1, \dots, e_\ell, e'_1, \dots, e'_m$  such that*

- (i)  $e_i \in E(G \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i-1})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ ,
- (ii)  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  is an independent set in  $G \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_\ell$ ,
- (iii)  $e'_j \in E(G \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_\ell * v_1 * \cdots * v_k \wedge e'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e'_{j-1})$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , and
- (iv)  $H = G \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_\ell * v_1 * \cdots * v_k \wedge e'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e'_m$ .

Fon-Der-Flaass published his proof in Russian. A paper by the authors [KO23] includes a proof based on isotropic systems. As an easy consequence of Theorem 4.4, Fon-Der-Flaass [FDF96] proved that two locally equivalent bipartite graphs are pivot-equivalent. This was a conjecture of Bouchet [Bou90, Conjecture 3.5] and is also implied by Theorem 5.3.

**Theorem 4.5** (Fon-Der-Flaass [FDF88, Theorem 2.2]). *If a graph  $G$  is locally equivalent to a tree  $T$ , then  $G$  has a subgraph isomorphic to  $T$ .*

Theorem 4.5 implies the following theorem, which was initially conjectured by Mulder at the Oberwolfach meeting in 1986. Bouchet also solved this conjecture independently.

**Theorem 4.6** (Bouchet [Bou88c] and Fon-Der-Flaass [FDF88]). *If two trees are locally equivalent, then they are isomorphic.*

Jeong, Kwon, and Oum [JKO14] used the idea of the above theorem to prove the following theorem on block graphs. A *block graph* is a graph in which every 2-connected induced subgraph is a complete graph. A vertex is *simplicial* if its neighbors are pairwise adjacent.

**Theorem 4.7** (Jeong, Kwon, and Oum [JKO14, Theorem 1.4]). *If two block graphs without simplicial vertices of degree 2 are locally equivalent, then they are isomorphic.*

**Theorem 4.8** (Fon-Der-Flaass [FDF88, Theorem 5.1]). *Let  $G$  be a graph and  $n \geq 5$ . If  $G$  is locally equivalent to  $C_n$ , then  $G$  has a subgraph isomorphic to  $C_n$ .*

Theorem 4.8 implies that if an  $n$ -vertex bipartite graph is locally equivalent to a cycle, then  $n$  is even, proved by Allys [All94, Lemma 5.2] later by using isotropic systems. Another proof of this fact is presented in the appendix of [KO23].

## 5 Cut-rank functions

For a graph  $G$ , the cut-rank function  $\rho_G$  is defined over the subsets  $X$  of  $V(G)$  such that  $\rho_G(X)$  is the rank of the  $X \times (V(G) - X)$  matrix over the binary field whose entry is 1 if and only if two vertices representing the column and the row are adjacent in  $G$ . Cut-rank functions play an important role in the study of vertex-minors because they are invariant under taking local complementations. As elementary row operations do not change the rank and  $1 + 1 = 0$  in the binary field, it is easy to observe the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1** (Bouchet [Bou89, Corollary 2]; see Oum [Oum05]). *If  $G$  and  $H$  are locally equivalent, then they have an identical cut-rank function.*

Since deleting a row or a column does not increase the rank of a matrix, we deduce the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.2.** *If  $H$  is a vertex-minor of a graph  $G$ , then  $\rho_H(X \cap V(H)) \leq \rho_G(X)$  for all  $X \subseteq V(G)$ .*

Bouchet [Bou90] conjectured that the converse of Theorem 5.1 is true but Fon-Der-Flaass disproved the conjecture. A counterexample with 10 vertices is presented in [FDF96]. According to a computer search, the smallest example is a pair of two graphs on 9 vertices that are not locally equivalent but have an identical cut-rank function.

The converse of Theorem 5.1 is true for bipartite graphs in the stronger sense that we only need pivoting. This follows from the result of Seymour [Sey88].

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $G_1, G_2$  be bipartite graphs on the same set  $V$  of vertices. If*

$$\rho_{G_1}(X) = \rho_{G_2}(X) \text{ for all subsets } X \subseteq V,$$

*then  $G_1$  is pivot-equivalent to  $G_2$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are connected because the cut-rank function of a graph determines components. For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $(S_i, T_i)$  be a bipartition of  $V(G_i)$ , let  $A_i$  be an  $S_i \times V$  matrix over the binary field such that for  $v \in S_i$  and  $w \in V$ ,

$$A_i(v, w) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v = w, \\ 1 & \text{if } w \in T_i \text{ and } v \text{ and } w \text{ are adjacent in } G_i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $M_i$  be the binary matroid represented by  $A_i$  and let  $\lambda_i$  be the matroid connectivity function of  $M_i$ , that is  $\lambda_i(X) = r_i(X) + r_i(V - X) - r_i(V)$  for the rank function  $r_i$  of  $M_i$ . This construction is to make  $G_i$  the fundamental graph of  $M_i$  with respect to  $S_i$ . Then, it is well known that the matroid connectivity function coincides with the cut-rank function of its fundamental graph, that is  $\rho_{G_i}(X) = \lambda_i(X)$ , see [Oum05].

Seymour [Sey88] showed that if two connected binary matroids  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  have the same matroid connectivity function, then  $M_1 = M_2$  or  $M_1 = M_2^*$ . We may assume that  $M_1 = M_2$  because we may swap  $S_2$  and  $T_2$  to replace  $M_2$  with  $M_2^*$ . Any two fundamental graphs of a binary matroid are pivot-equivalent, see Oum [Oum05, Corollary 3.5] and therefore  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are pivot-equivalent.  $\square$

Motivated by Corollary 5.2, we can define width parameters of graphs in terms of cut-rank functions so that its value does not increase when we take vertex-minors. The most well-known example is the rank-width, introduced by Oum and Seymour [OS06], as a dense analog of tree-width. A *rank-decomposition* of a graph  $G$  is a pair  $(T, L)$  of a tree  $T$  of maximum degree at most 3 and a bijection  $L$  from  $V(G)$  to the set of leaves of  $T$ . For every edge  $e$ ,  $T - e$  gives a

partition  $(A_e, B_e)$  of the leaves of  $T$  and the *width* of an edge  $e$  in  $T$  is defined as  $\rho_G(L^{-1}(A_e))$ . The *width* of  $(T, L)$  is defined as the maximum width of all edges of  $T$ . The *rank-width* of a graph  $G$  is the minimum width of all rank-decompositions of  $G$ . If  $|V(G)| < 2$ , then it admits no rank-decompositions and we define the rank-width to be 0. By Corollary 5.2, if  $H$  is a vertex-minor of  $G$ , then the rank-width of  $H$  is less than or equal to that of  $G$ .

One can also define a dense analog of path-width. The *linear rank-width* of a graph  $G$  is the minimum  $k$  such that there is an ordering  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  of all vertices of  $G$  with the property that

$$\rho_G(\{v_1, \dots, v_i\}) \leq k$$

for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n := |V(G)|$ . Again, if  $H$  is a vertex-minor of  $G$ , then the linear rank-width of  $H$  is less than or equal to that of  $G$ .

We also have a dense analog of tree-depth, called the *rank-depth*, introduced by DeVos, Kwon, and Oum [DKO20]. A *decomposition* of a graph  $G$  is a pair  $(T, \sigma)$  of a tree  $T$  having at least one internal node and a bijection  $\sigma$  from  $V(G)$  to the set of leaves of  $T$ . Note that a rank-decomposition is a decomposition in which every node in the tree has degree at most 3. The *radius* of a decomposition  $(T, \sigma)$  is the radius of the tree  $T$ . Each internal node  $v$  of  $T$  induces a partition  $\mathcal{P}_v$  of  $V(G)$  by taking vertices of  $G$  mapped to the same component of  $T - v$  by  $\sigma$  as one part. The  $\rho_G$ -*width* of a partition  $\mathcal{P}_v$  is defined as  $\max\{\rho_G(\bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{Q}} X) : \emptyset \neq \mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_v\}$ . The *width* of a decomposition  $(T, \sigma)$  is the maximum width of  $\mathcal{P}_v$  among all internal nodes  $v$  of  $T$ . The *rank-depth* of a graph  $G$  [DKO20] is the minimum integer  $k$  such that  $G$  has a decomposition of radius at most  $k$  and width at most  $k$ . Again, if  $H$  is a vertex-minor of  $G$ , then the rank-depth of  $H$  is less than or equal to that of  $G$ .

From definitions, the rank-width is less than or equal to the linear rank-width and the rank-depth. The linear rank-width is at most the square of the rank-depth, which is explained below. Let  $G$  be a graph with rank-depth  $k$ . Then  $G$  admits a decomposition  $(T, \sigma)$  of radius at most  $k$  and width at most  $k$ . By the depth-first search on  $T$ , we can order the leaves of  $T$  and so the vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  of  $G$ . Since  $T$  has radius at most  $k$ , for each  $1 \leq m \leq n$ , there are at most  $k$  internal nodes  $x_1, \dots, x_{k'}$  of  $T$  such that  $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  is the union of  $X_1, \dots, X_{k'}$  for some  $X_i \in \mathcal{P}_{x_i}$ . Thus,  $\rho_G(\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \rho_G(X_i) \leq k^2$ .

The rank-width and the linear rank-width have equivalent graph parameters defined earlier, which are the *clique-width* [CER93, CO00] and the *linear clique-width* [GW05, LR07], respectively. For the rank-depth, there is also an equivalent concept, called the *shrub-depth* [GHN<sup>+</sup>12, GHN<sup>+</sup>19], which is only defined for classes of graphs. We omit definitions of the clique-width, linear clique-width, and shrub-depth in this survey.

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $rw$ ,  $lrw$ ,  $cw$ , and  $lcw$  be the rank-width, linear rank-width, clique-width, and linear clique-width of a graph  $G$ . Then*

- (i)  $rw \leq cw \leq 2^{rw+1} - 1$  [OS06],
- (ii)  $lrw \leq lcw \leq 2^{lrw} + 1$  [Oum17], and
- (iii) a class of graphs has bounded shrub-depth if and only if it has bounded rank-depth [DKO20].

## 6 Vertex-minors certifying large cut-rank

By Corollary 5.2, if  $G$  has a vertex-minor  $H$  having a cut of large cut-rank, then all cuts of  $G$  inducing the same cut on  $H$  will have large cut-rank. The following theorem shows that the converse holds. This is an analog of Tutte's linking theorem [Tut65] on matroids; see Oxley [Oxl11, Section 8.5].

**Theorem 6.1** (Oum [Oum05, Theorem 6.1]). *Let  $G$  be a graph and  $X$  and  $Y$  be disjoint subsets of  $V(G)$ . The following are equivalent.*






Figure 7: Illustrations of Theorem 6.2.

- (i)  $\rho_G(Z) \geq k$  for all  $Z$  with  $X \subseteq Z \subseteq V(G) - Y$ .
- (ii)  $G$  has a vertex-minor  $H$  on  $X \cup Y$  such that  $\rho_H(X) \geq k$ .
- (iii)  $G$  has a pivot-minor  $H$  on  $X \cup Y$  such that  $\rho_H(X) \geq k$ .

Theorem 6.1 allows us to find a small vertex-minor certifying that any cuts separating a pair of disjoint sets  $X$  and  $Y$  have large cut-rank. However, often it is convenient to have such a certificate while keeping the adjacency between  $X$  and  $Y$ . The following theorem provides such a small vertex-minor.

**Theorem 6.2** (Geelen, Kwon, McCarty, and Wollan [GKMW23, Lemma 4.3]). *There is a function  $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  such that for every integer  $k > 0$ , if  $G$  is a graph and  $X$  and  $Y$  are disjoint subsets of  $V(G)$  such that  $\rho_{G[X \cup Y]}(X) < k$  and*

$$\rho_G(Z) \geq f(k) \text{ for all } Z \text{ with } X \subseteq Z \subseteq V(G) - Y,$$

*then there exists a graph  $H$  locally equivalent to  $G$  such that  $H[X \cup Y] = G[X \cup Y]$  and either*

- (i) *there is a set  $L \subseteq V(H) - (X \cup Y)$  of size  $k$  such that  $\rho_{H[X \cup L]}(L) = \rho_{H[Y \cup L]}(L) = k$ , or*
- (ii) *there are disjoint subsets  $L_1, L_2 \subseteq V(H) - (X \cup Y)$  of size  $k$  such that  $\rho_{H[X \cup L_1]}(L_1) = \rho_{H[L_1 \cup L_2]}(L_1) = \rho_{H[Y \cup L_2]}(L_2) = k$ , all vertices in  $L_1$  have the same set of neighbors in  $Y$ , and all vertices in  $L_2$  have the same set of neighbors in  $X$ .*

See Figure 7 for illustrations of Theorem 6.2. The graph  $H$  with  $L$  or  $L_1 \cup L_2$  obtained from Theorem 6.2 certifies that any cuts separating  $X$  and  $Y$  have large cut-rank as shown by the following proposition. This is also described in [GKMW23] as a motivation of Theorem 6.2. For an  $X \times Y$  matrix  $A$ , if  $X' \subseteq X$  and  $Y' \subseteq Y$ , then we denote by  $A[X', Y']$  the  $X' \times Y'$  submatrix of  $A$ .

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let  $H$  be a graph and let  $X$  and  $Y$  be disjoint subsets of  $V(H)$ .*

- (i) *If there is a set  $L \subseteq V(H) - (X \cup Y)$  such that  $\rho_{H[X \cup L]}(L) = \rho_{H[Y \cup L]}(L) = |L|$ , then  $\rho_H(Z) \geq |L|/2$  for every  $X \subseteq Z \subseteq V(H) - Y$ .*
- (ii) *If there are disjoint subsets  $L_1, L_2 \subseteq V(H) - (X \cup Y)$  such that  $\rho_{H[X \cup L_1]}(L_1) = \rho_{H[L_1 \cup L_2]}(L_1) = \rho_{H[Y \cup L_2]}(L_2) = |L_1| = |L_2|$ , then  $\rho_H(Z) \geq |L_1|/3$  for every  $X \subseteq Z \subseteq V(H) - Y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be the adjacency matrix of  $H$  over the binary field. Let  $Z$  be a set such that  $X \subseteq Z \subseteq V(H) - Y$ .

(i) We have that  $\rho_H(Z) = \text{rank}(A[Z, V(H) - Z]) \geq \text{rank}(A[X, L - Z]) \geq \text{rank}(A[X, L]) - |L \cap Z| = \rho_{H[X \cup L]}(L) - |L \cap Z| = |L - Z|$ . Similarly,  $\rho_H(Z) \geq \text{rank}(A[L \cap Z, Y]) \geq |L \cap Z|$ . Therefore,  $2\rho_H(Z) \geq |L|$ .

(ii) Note that  $\rho_H(Z) \geq \text{rank}(A[X, L_1 - Z]) \geq |L_1 - Z|$  and  $\rho_H(Z) \geq \text{rank}(A[L_2 \cap Z, Y]) \geq |L_2 \cap Z|$ . Observe that  $\rho_H(Z) \geq \text{rank}(A[L_1 \cap Z, L_2 - Z]) \geq \text{rank}(A[L_1, L_2]) - |L_1 - Z| - |L_2 \cap Z| = |L_1| - |L_1 - Z| - |L_2 \cap Z|$ . Hence  $3\rho_H(Z) \geq |L_1|$ .  $\square$

Lee and Oum [LO23b] proved the following extension of Theorem 6.1.

**Theorem 6.4** (Lee and Oum [LO23b]). *Let  $k, \ell$  be non-negative integers. Let  $G$  be a graph and  $Q, R, S, T$  be subsets of  $V(G)$  such that  $Q \cap R = S \cap T = \emptyset$ ,*

$$\rho_G(Z_1) \geq k \text{ for all } Z_1 \text{ with } Q \subseteq Z_1 \subseteq V(G) - R,$$

and

$$\rho_G(Z_2) \geq \ell \text{ for all } Z_2 \text{ with } S \subseteq Z_2 \subseteq V(G) - T.$$

*Then there is a pivot-minor  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $H$  contains  $Q \cup R \cup S \cup T$ ,  $|V(H)| < |Q \cup R \cup S \cup T| + (2\ell + 1)2^{2k}$ ,*

$$\rho_H(Z_1) \geq k \text{ for all } Z_1 \text{ with } Q \subseteq Z_1 \subseteq V(H) - R,$$

and

$$\rho_H(Z_2) \geq \ell \text{ for all } Z_2 \text{ with } S \subseteq Z_2 \subseteq V(H) - T.$$

## 7 Split decompositions and prime graphs

A *split* of a graph  $G$  is a partition  $(X, Y)$  of the vertex set of  $G$  such that  $|X|, |Y| \geq 2$  and  $\rho_G(X) \leq 1$ . Note that  $\rho_G(X) \leq 1$  if there are subsets  $X' \subseteq X$  and  $Y' \subseteq V(G) - X$  for which the set of adjacent pairs of a vertex  $a \in X$  and a vertex  $b \in V(G) - X$  is precisely  $X' \times Y'$ . We say a graph is *prime* if it has no splits. By Theorem 5.1, locally equivalent graphs have the same splits and therefore if a graph is locally equivalent to a prime graph then it is prime. We will discuss prime graphs and their vertex-minors in Section 8.

If a graph admits a split, it can be built by the 1-join of two graphs. The 1-*join* of two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  with marker vertices  $v_1 \in V(G_1)$  and  $v_2 \in V(G_2)$  is defined to be a graph obtained from the disjoint union of  $G - v_1$  and  $G - v_2$  by adding an edge between every neighbor of  $v_1$  in  $G_1$  and every neighbor of  $v_2$  in  $G_2$ . Whenever a graph  $G$  admits a split  $(X, Y)$ , we choose  $v_1 \in Y$  and  $v_2 \in X$  so that  $v_1$  have neighbors in  $X$  and  $v_2$  have neighbors in  $Y$  if there is at least one edge between  $X$  and  $Y$ . Then  $G$  is the 1-join of  $G[X \cup \{v_1\}]$  and  $G[Y \cup \{v_2\}]$  with  $v_1, v_2$ . Thus if  $G$  is not prime, it can be decomposed into two smaller graphs with at least three vertices by the 1-join operation.

Now starting from a set  $\{G\}$  consisting of a single graph, we recursively pick a graph  $H$  in the set having a split and replace it with two smaller graphs so that their 1-join is  $H$  as long as  $H$  is not a complete graph or a star, until no further replacement is possible. We can associate a tree by having one node for each graph in the resulting set and adding an edge between two nodes if the corresponding pairs have marker vertices that are used when making the 1-join at some point. This decomposition is called the *split decomposition* of a graph. Cunningham [Cun82] proved that a connected graph has a unique split decomposition and therefore this is sometimes called a *canonical decomposition*. Note that complete graphs and stars have exponentially many splits and for the sake of having the unique split decomposition, the recursive process stops at those graphs. If we do not care much about the uniqueness, then we could decompose a connected graph into prime graphs and build any graph from prime graphs by repeatedly taking 1-join.

There are linear-time algorithms to find a split decomposition [Dah00, CdMR12].

Prime graphs are important building blocks of graphs, analogous to the fact that every connected graph can be decomposed into blocks. As planar graphs can be decided by investigating




Figure 8: Unavoidable induced subgraphs in prime graphs that are not cycles.

the planarity of blocks, there are properties of graphs that can be determined by the properties of prime graphs.

Here is a theorem on the unique representation of circle graphs up to local equivalence. This is analogous to the theorem that every 3-connected planar graph has a unique embedding on the sphere, see [MT01, Section 2.5].

**Theorem 7.1** (Bouchet [Bou87c]). *Prime circle graphs on at least 5 vertices have a unique representation up to cyclic equivalence.*

Furthermore, if  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are circle graphs, then so is their 1-join [Bou87c, (4.2)]. We can rewrite as follows because any prime induced subgraph is an induced subgraph of some graph in the canonical decomposition.

**Theorem 7.2** (Bouchet [Bou87c]). *A graph is a circle graph if and only if all of its prime induced subgraphs are circle graphs.*

So in order to decide whether a graph is a circle graph, it is enough to check whether all graphs in its canonical decomposition are circle graphs.

A similar property of Theorem 7.2 holds for graphs of rank-width at most  $k$ . As the rank-width of the 1-join of two graphs is equal to the maximum rank-width of  $G$  or  $H$ , it is easy to deduce the following.

**Theorem 7.3** (Hliněný, Oum, Seese, and Gottlob [HOSG08, Theorem 4.3]). *The rank-width of a graph is the maximum rank-width of all its prime induced subgraphs.*

The class of graphs with rank-width at most one is precisely the class of graphs without prime induced graphs having at least four vertices. This class is also known as the class of distance-hereditary graphs, and we will discuss it in Section 9.2.

## 8 Chain theorems

Graph theory employs chain theorems as a means to reduce the size of a graph while maintaining some notion of connectedness. A detailed overview of these theorems can be found in Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of Chapter 2 in [GGL95], which offer a comprehensive survey on chain theorems of graph minors. This survey describes variants of Tutte's chain theorem and examines their applications.

A significant chain theorem for vertex-minors was proven by Bouchet [Bou87d]. This theorem serves as a critical tool used by Bouchet for recognizing circle graphs in polynomial time.

**Theorem 8.1** (Bouchet [Bou87d]). *Let  $G$  be a prime graph with at least 6 vertices. Then  $G$  has a prime vertex-minor  $H$  with  $|V(H)| = |V(G)| - 1$ .*

Note that no graph on four vertices is prime and all prime graphs on five vertices are locally equivalent to  $C_5$  [Bou87c, Lemma 3.1]. Gabor, Hsu, and Supowit [GSH89] provide an  $O(|V(G)| \times |E(G)|)$ -time algorithm recognizing a circle graph for an input graph  $G$ , using the next result.




Figure 9: The graph  $H_{13}$ . No vertex  $v$  other than the unique vertex adjacent to all other vertices has the property that  $H_{13} - v$  or  $H_{13} * v - v$  is prime.

**Theorem 8.2** (Gabor, Hsu, and Supowit [GSH89]). *Every prime graph with at least 5 vertices contains an induced subgraph isomorphic to a cycle of length at least 5 or a graph in Figure 8.*

Allys proved a strengthening of Theorem 8.1 by using isotropic systems.

**Theorem 8.3** (Allys [All94]). *Let  $G$  be a prime graph with at least 6 vertices. Then  $G$  has a vertex  $v$  such that  $G - v$  or  $G * v - v$  is prime.*

Oum [Oum23] and Lee and Oum [LO23a] proved related results for variants of primeness. Kim and Oum extended the theorem of Allys (Theorem 8.3) as follows. Let  $H_n$  be a graph on  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  such that two vertices  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  with  $i < j$  are adjacent if and only if  $i$  is even or  $j$  is odd. See Figure 9 for an illustration of  $H_{13}$ .

**Theorem 8.4** (Kim and Oum [KO23]). *Let  $G$  be a prime graph with at least 6 vertices and  $x$  be a vertex of  $G$ . Then  $G$  has a vertex  $v \neq x$  such that  $G - v$  or  $G * v - v$  is prime, unless  $G$  is isomorphic to  $H_{|V(G)|}$ ,  $x$  is adjacent to all other vertices of  $G$ , and  $|V(G)|$  is odd.*

Theorem 8.4 is proved by using the following theorem on the number of vertices that admit at least two ways to be removed while keeping the primeness.

**Theorem 8.5** (Kim and Oum [KO23]). *Let  $G$  be a prime graph with at least 4 vertices.*

- (i)  *$G$  has at least two vertices  $v$  such that at least two of  $G - v$ ,  $G * v - v$ , and  $G \wedge vw - v$  for some neighbor  $w$  of  $v$  are prime, unless  $G$  is locally equivalent to  $C_5$ .*
- (ii)  *$G$  has at least three vertices  $v$  such that at least two of  $G - v$ ,  $G * v - v$ , and  $G \wedge vw - v$  for some neighbor  $w$  of  $v$  are prime, unless  $G$  is locally equivalent to a cycle or a graph consisting of at least three internally-disjoint paths between two fixed vertices, none of which has length 2.*

Here is an easy corollary of the previous theorem.

**Corollary 8.6** (Kim and Oum [KO23]). *Let  $G$  be a prime graph with at least 6 vertices. For every pair of vertices  $x, y \in V(G)$ ,  $G$  has a prime vertex-minor  $H$  containing both  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $|V(H)| = |V(G)| - 1$ .*

The following theorem concerns the number of ways to obtain a fixed graph  $H$  as a vertex-minor.

**Theorem 8.7** (Geelen and Oum [GO09, Theorem 1.10]). *Let  $H$  be a vertex-minor of  $G$ . If  $|V(G)| \geq 2^{|V(H)|}$ , then there is a vertex  $v$  such that at least two of  $G - v$ ,  $G * v - v$ , and  $G \wedge vw - v$  for some neighbor  $w$  of  $v$  have  $H$  as a vertex-minor.*

Theorem 8.7 immediately implies the following.

**Theorem 8.8** (Geelen and Oum [GO09, Theorem 1.3]). *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a class of graphs closed under taking vertex-minors. If every vertex-minor-minimal graph not in  $\mathcal{G}$  has at most  $k$  vertices, then every pivot-minor-minimal graph not in  $\mathcal{G}$  has at most  $2^k - 1$  vertices.*

Geelen proved a strengthening of Theorem 8.1 in his Ph.D. thesis, analogous to the splitter theorem for 3-connected matroids by Seymour [Sey80]. The proof is based on purely graph-theoretic methods.

**Theorem 8.9** (Geelen [Gee96, Corollary 5.11]). *Let  $G$  and  $H$  be prime graphs such that  $4 \leq |V(H)| < |V(G)|$ . If  $H$  is a vertex-minor of  $G$ , then there is a sequence of prime graphs  $G_1 := G, G_2, \dots, G_m$  such that*

- for each  $i < m$ ,  $G_{i+1}$  is a vertex-minor of  $G_i$  with  $|V(G_{i+1})| = |V(G_i)| - 1$  and
- $G_m$  is isomorphic to  $H$ .

## 9 Forbidden vertex-minor characterizations

Theorem 2.1 states that a graph is a circle graph if and only if it has no vertex-minor isomorphic to  $W_5$ ,  $F_7$ , or  $W_7$ . In this section, we will review similar theorems on characterizing classes of graphs in terms of forbidden vertex-minors.

### 9.1 Graphs with no vertex-minor isomorphic to $W_5$

Geelen [Gee96] described the structure of graphs with no vertex-minors isomorphic to  $W_5$ . We denote the 3-dimensional cube graph by  $Q_3$ .

**Theorem 9.1** (Geelen [Gee96, Theorem 5.14]). *A graph  $G$  has no vertex-minor isomorphic to  $W_5$  if and only if one of the following holds.*

- (i)  $G$  is a circle graph.
- (ii)  $G$  is locally equivalent to a graph isomorphic to  $W_7$ ,  $F_7$ , or  $Q_3$ .
- (iii)  $G$  is the 1-join of two smaller graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , both of which are graphs with no vertex-minors isomorphic to  $W_5$ .

### 9.2 Distance-hereditary graphs

A graph is *distance-hereditary* [BM86] if for every connected induced subgraph  $H$  and two vertices  $x$  and  $y$  of  $H$ , the distance between  $x$  and  $y$  in  $H$  is equal to the distance between  $x$  and  $y$  in  $G$ . Two vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are *twins* of a graph  $G$  if they have the same set of neighbors in  $V(G) - \{x, y\}$ . Bandelt and Mulder [BM86] showed that all distance-hereditary graphs can be built from  $K_1$  by creating twins and adding an isolated vertex or a pendant vertex to a distance-hereditary graph. Oum [Oum05] observed that a graph is distance-hereditary if and only if its rank-width is at most 1. Kwon and Oum [KO14b] showed the following obtained by combining this observation with results of Bouchet [Bou88c, Bou87c].

**Theorem 9.2** (Bouchet [Bou88c, Bou87c], Oum [Oum05], and Kwon and Oum [KO14b, Theorem 4.1]). *Let  $G$  be a graph. The following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $G$  is distance-hereditary.
- (ii)  $G$  has rank-width at most 1.
- (iii)  $G$  has no vertex-minor isomorphic to  $C_5$ .
- (iv)  $G$  is a vertex-minor of a tree.




Figure 10: Vertex-minor obstructions for the class of graphs of linear rank-width 1.

### 9.3 Linear rank-width at most 1

It is easy to see that the rank-width of a graph is less than or equal to the linear rank-width of a graph. So, the class of graphs of linear rank-width at most 1 is a subclass of the class of distance-hereditary graphs. To describe the structure of graphs of linear rank-width at most 1, Ganian [Gan11] defined thread graphs and proved that a graph is a thread graph if and only if it has linear rank-width at most 1.

Here is a theorem characterizing the class of graphs of linear rank-width at most 1.

**Theorem 9.3** (Adler, Farley, and Proskurowski [AFP14] and Kwon and Oum [KO14a, Theorem 4.3]). *Let  $G$  be a graph. The following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $G$  has linear rank-width at most 1.
- (ii)  $G$  has no vertex-minor isomorphic to any graphs in Figure 10.
- (iii)  $G$  is a vertex-minor of a path.

Here is an interesting theorem on the linear rank-width of a tree.

**Theorem 9.4** (Adler and Kanté [AK15]). *For every forest  $T$ , the linear rank-width of  $T$  is equal to the path-width of  $T$ .*

### 9.4 Rank-width and linear rank-width

**Theorem 9.5** (Oum [Oum05]). *For each  $k$ , there exists a finite list of graphs each having at most  $(6^{k+1} - 1)/5$  vertices such that a graph has rank-width at most  $k$  if and only if it has no pivot-minor isomorphic to a graph in the list.*

**Theorem 9.6** (Kanté, Kim, Kwon, and Oum [KKKO23]). *For each  $k$ , there exists a finite list of graphs each having at most  $2^{2^{O(k^2)}}$  vertices such that a graph has linear rank-width at most  $k$  if and only if it has no pivot-minor isomorphic to a graph in the list.*

We can replace pivot-minors with vertex-minors in both Theorem 9.5 and Theorem 9.6 by the following reason. If a graph  $G$  has another graph  $H$  as a vertex-minor, then there is a graph  $G'$  locally equivalent to  $G$  such that  $G'$  has  $H$  as a pivot-minor. Therefore, if a graph class is closed under taking vertex-minors and a graph  $H$  is a pivot-minor-minimal graph not in the class, then  $H$  is also a vertex-minor-minimal graph not in the class. Note that Theorem 8.8 describes the other direction to obtain pivot-minor-minimal graphs not in a class from vertex-minor-minimal graphs not in the class.

### 9.5 Well-quasi-ordering

So far we have witnessed several instances of graph classes closed under taking vertex-minors that admit characterizations in terms of finitely many forbidden vertex-minors or pivot-minors. The celebrated graph minors theorem of Robertson and Seymour [RS04] states that every proper minor-closed class of graphs is characterized by a finite set of forbidden minors. This property can be seen easily equivalent to the following statement; Every infinite sequence  $G_1, G_2, \dots$  of graphs has a pair  $i < j$  such that  $G_i$  is isomorphic to a minor of  $G_j$ .

We can extend this to quasi-orders. A binary relation  $\leq$  on  $X$  is a *quasi-order* if  $x \leq x$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq z$  implies  $x \leq z$ . A quasi-order  $\leq$  on  $X$  is a *well-quasi-ordering* if every infinite sequence of  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots \in X$  admits a pair  $i < j$  such that  $x_i \leq x_j$ . If so, we call the set  $X$  *well-quasi-ordered* by the relation  $\leq$ . The graph minors theorem can be equivalently stated that graphs are well-quasi-ordered by the minor relation.

Motivated by the graph minors theorem, it is very natural to propose the following conjectures.

**Conjecture 9.7.** *Graphs are well-quasi-ordered by the vertex-minor relation.*

**Conjecture 9.8** (Oum [Oum12, Oum17]). *Graphs are well-quasi-ordered by the pivot-minor relation.*

Oum [Oum08a] showed that graphs of bounded rank-width are well-quasi-ordered by the pivot-minor relation, which implies that such graphs are well-quasi-ordered by the vertex-minor relation.

**Theorem 9.9** (Oum [Oum08a]). *Graphs of bounded rank-width are well-quasi-ordered by the pivot-minor relation.*

Bouchet [Bou94] conjectured that circle graphs are well-quasi-ordered by the vertex-minor relation. This is implied by the well-quasi-ordering of 4-regular graphs by the immersion relation, proved by Robertson and Seymour [RS10]. The relation between the immersions on 4-regular graphs with their Eulerian circuits and vertex-minors of circle graphs was observed by Kotzig [Kot68] and is described in the survey of Bouchet [Bou90].

**Theorem 9.10** (Implied by Robertson and Seymour [RS10]; see McCarty [McC21]). *Circle graphs are well-quasi-ordered by the vertex-minor relation.*

Pivot-minors of bipartite graphs are associated with minors of binary matroids, see Oum [Oum05]. Geelen, Gerards, and Whittle [GGW14] proved that for every fixed finite field  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}$ -representable matroids are well-quasi-ordered by the minor relation. This in particular means that binary matroids are well-quasi-ordered by the minor relation and so we deduce the following. As far as the authors know, its proof is still being written.

**Theorem 9.11** (Implied by Geelen, Gerards, and Whittle [GGW14]). *Bipartite graphs are well-quasi-ordered by the pivot-minor relation.*

The *line graph* of a graph  $G$  is a graph on  $E(G)$  such that  $e, f \in E(G)$  are adjacent in the line graph if and only if  $e$  and  $f$  share a common end in  $G$ . Oum [Oum09] studied pivot-minors of line graphs via minors of *grafts*, which are pairs  $(G, T)$  of a graph  $G$  and a set  $T \subseteq V(G)$ . In the graft minors, contracting an edge  $e$  will make the new vertex belong to  $T$  if and only if exactly one end of  $e$  belongs to  $T$ . This can be seen as minors of group-labelled graphs where vertices have labels from the binary field. Geelen, Gerards, and Whittle announced that while proving their theorem for matroids [GGW14], they proved that group-labelled graphs with labels from a finite field are well-quasi-ordered by the minor relation and this implies that grafts are well-quasi-ordered by the graft minor relations. Therefore, pivot-minors of line graphs are well-quasi-ordered by the pivot-minor relation, based on [Oum09].

For two graphs  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the class of graphs having no vertex-minor isomorphic to  $H_1$  or having no vertex-minor isomorphic to  $H_2$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under taking vertex-minors. If Conjecture 9.7 holds, then there will be a list  $L$  of finitely many graphs such that a graph belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$  if and only if it has no vertex-minor isomorphic to a graph in  $L$ . Each graph in  $L$  is required to have both a vertex-minor isomorphic to  $H_1$  and a vertex-minor isomorphic to  $H_2$ . So the conjecture 9.7 implies that there are only finitely many vertex-minor-minimal graphs containing both a vertex-minor isomorphic to  $H_1$  and a vertex-minor isomorphic to  $H_2$ . This is verified by Geelen and Oum [GO09] with an explicit bound by using Theorem 8.7.

**Theorem 9.12** (Geelen and Oum [GO09, Theorem 1.11]). *For graphs  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , if  $G$  is a vertex-minor-minimal graphs containing a vertex-minor isomorphic to  $H_1$  and a vertex-minor isomorphic to  $H_2$ , then*

$$|V(G)| \leq 2^{|V(H_1)|} + 2^{|V(H_2)|} - 2.$$

It is open whether the analog holds for pivot-minors, which would be a consequence of Conjecture 9.8.

**Conjecture 9.13** (Lee and Oum [LO23b]). *For graphs  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , up to isomorphisms, there are only finitely many pivot-minor-minimal graphs containing a pivot-minor isomorphic to  $H_1$  and a pivot-minor isomorphic to  $H_2$ .*

## 10 Structural analysis of vertex-minor closed classes: Preliminary results

### 10.1 Unavoidable vertex-minors

Theorem 2.2 shows that every graph of sufficiently large rank-width has a vertex-minor isomorphic to a fixed circle graph. We review similar results for vertex-minors concerning other graph parameters. We write  $nK_2$  for the disjoint union of  $n$  copies of  $K_2$ .

**Theorem 10.1.** *The following hold.*

- (i) *For a positive integer  $n$ , every graph of sufficiently large rank-depth contains a vertex-minor isomorphic to  $P_n$  [KMOW21].*
- (ii) *For an edgeless graph  $H$ , every graph with sufficiently many vertices contains a vertex-minor isomorphic to  $H$  [KO14b].*
- (iii) *For a complete graph  $H$ , every connected graph with sufficiently many vertices contains a vertex-minor isomorphic to  $H$  [KO14b].*
- (iv) *For a positive integer  $n$ , every graph with sufficiently many edges contains a vertex-minor isomorphic to  $K_n$  or  $nK_2$  [KO14b].*

All these results are the best possible. For example, we cannot replace  $P_n$  in (i) with a graph that is not a vertex-minor of a path. Theorem 10.1(i) solves a conjecture of Hliněný, Kwon, Obdržálek, and Ordyniak [HKOO16], initially stated in terms of shrub-depth. Theorem 10.1(ii)-(iv) are easy consequences of Ramsey's theorem.

Here is a conjecture on graphs of large linear rank-width. Kanté and Kwon [KK18] verified it for distance-hereditary graphs.

**Conjecture 10.2** (Kanté and Kwon [KK18]). *For a tree  $T$ , every graph of sufficiently large linear rank-width contains a vertex-minor isomorphic to  $T$ .*

What happens if we replace vertex-minors with pivot-minors? Oum [Oum09] proposed the following conjecture on pivot-minor obstructions for graphs of large rank-width. This conjecture implies Theorem 2.2 because every circle graph is a vertex-minor of a bipartite circle graph [BT16, Corollary 53].

**Conjecture 10.3** (Oum [Oum09]). *For a bipartite circle graph  $H$ , every graph  $G$  of sufficiently large rank-width contains a pivot-minor isomorphic to  $H$ .*

This conjecture is known to be true for some graphs  $G$ .

- (i) It is true if  $G$  is bipartite by the theorem on binary matroids due to Geelen, Gerards, and Whittle [GGW07], see [Oum05, Corollary 3.9].



- (ii) It is true if  $G$  is a pivot-minor of a line graph, shown by Oum [Oum09].
- (iii) It is true if  $G$  is a circle graph by the result in the Ph.D. thesis of Johnson [Joh02, Theorem 2.5], as explained in Oum [Oum09].

The grid minor theorem of Robertson and Seymour [RS86] states that for an integer  $k > 0$ , every graph  $G$  of sufficiently large tree-width has a minor isomorphic to a  $k \times k$  grid. We remark that Conjecture 10.3 implies the grid minor theorem. Let  $H$  be a planar graph obtained from a  $(k + 1) \times (k + 1)$  grid by adding a new vertex adjacent to all vertices of degree at most 3. Let  $F_G$  and  $F_H$  be fundamental graphs of  $G$  and  $H$ , respectively. By Theorem 2.3,  $F_H$  is a bipartite circle graph. Suppose that  $G$  has sufficiently large tree-width. Then by Robertson and Seymour [RS91, (5.2)],  $G$  has large branch-width, and by Hicks and McMurray [HM07, Theorem 4],  $M(G)$  has large branch-width. By [Oum05, Corollary 3.2], the rank-width of  $F_G$  equals to the branch-width of  $M(G)$  minus one. Thus, if Conjecture 10.3 holds, then  $F_G$  has a pivot-minor isomorphic to  $F_H$ . Hence  $G$  has a minor  $X$  such that  $X$  has a fundamental graph isomorphic to  $F_H$ . As binary matroids are determined by their fundamental graphs up to duality, the cycle matroid  $M(X)$  of  $X$  is isomorphic to  $M(H)$  or  $M^*(H) = M(H^*)$ , where  $H^*$  is a dual graph of  $H$ . Note that  $H$  is 3-connected and so is  $H^*$ . Thus, by Whitney's 2-isomorphism theorem [Whi33],  $X$  is isomorphic to  $H$  or  $H^*$ . Hence  $G$  has a minor isomorphic to  $H$  or  $H^*$ . Because both  $H$  and  $H^*$  contain a  $k \times k$  grid as an induced subgraph, we conclude that  $G$  has a minor isomorphic to a  $k \times k$  grid.

For graphs of large linear rank-width, Dabrowski, Dross, Jeong, Kanté, Kwon, Oum, and Paulusma [DDJ<sup>+</sup>21] conjectured the following. A *caterpillar* is a tree whose induced subgraph with the vertices of degree larger than one is a path.

**Conjecture 10.4** (Dabrowski, Dross, Jeong, Kanté, Kwon, Oum, and Paulusma [DDJ<sup>+</sup>21]). *For a caterpillar  $T$ , every graph of sufficiently large linear rank-width contains a pivot-minor isomorphic to  $T$ .*

This conjecture is verified for distance-hereditary graphs [DDJ<sup>+</sup>21].

## 10.2 Vertex-minor closures of sparse classes

Every graph of small tree-width, path-width, and tree-depth has small rank-width, linear rank-width, and rank-depth, respectively.

**Theorem 10.5.** *Let  $G$  be a graph.*

- (i) *If the tree-width of  $G$  is  $k$ , then the rank-width of  $G$  is at most  $k + 1$  [Oum08b].*
- (ii) *If the path-width of  $G$  is  $k$ , then the linear rank-width of  $G$  is at most  $k$  [AK15].*
- (iii) *If the tree-depth of  $G$  is  $k$ , then the rank-depth of  $G$  is at most  $k$  [DKO20].*

Recall that rank-width, linear rank-width, and rank-depth do not increase by taking vertex-minors. Thus, if a class  $\mathcal{C}$  of graphs has bounded tree-width, path-width, and tree-depth, then the class of vertex-minors of graphs in  $\mathcal{C}$  has bounded rank-width, linear rank-width, and rank-depth, respectively. The converse holds as follows.

**Theorem 10.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of graphs.*

- (i) *If  $\mathcal{C}$  has bounded rank-width, then there is a class  $\mathcal{D}$  of graphs of bounded tree-width such that every graph in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a vertex-minor of a graph in  $\mathcal{D}$  [KO14a].*
- (ii) *If  $\mathcal{C}$  has bounded linear rank-width, then there is a class  $\mathcal{D}$  of graphs of bounded path-width such that every graph in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a vertex-minor of a graph in  $\mathcal{D}$  [KO14a].*

(iii) If  $\mathcal{C}$  has bounded rank-depth, then there is a class  $\mathcal{D}$  of graphs of bounded tree-depth such that every graph in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a vertex-minor of a graph in  $\mathcal{D}$  [HKOO16].

Kwon and Oum [KO14a] showed that one can replace vertex-minors in (i) and (ii) with pivot-minors. However, one cannot replace the vertex in (iii) with pivot-minors shown by [HKOO16]. Note that by Theorem 5.4(iii), we can state in Theorem 10.6(iii) in terms of shrub-depth, and this is how Hliněný et al. [HKOO16] wrote their theorem.

## 11 $\chi$ -boundedness

For a graph  $G$ , we write  $\chi(G)$  to denote its chromatic number and  $\omega(G)$  to denote the maximum size of a clique. A class of graphs is  $\chi$ -bounded if there is a function  $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\chi(G) \leq f(\omega(G))$  for every induced subgraph  $G$  of a graph in the class. Such a function  $f$  is called the  $\chi$ -bounding function.

Geelen (see [DK12]) conjectured in 2009 at the DIMACS workshop held at Princeton University that every proper vertex-minor closed class of graphs is  $\chi$ -bounded. This had been verified for circle graphs by Gyárfás [Gyá85, Gyá86], graphs of bounded rank-width and graphs without a vertex-minor isomorphic to  $W_5$  by Dvořák and Král' [DK12], and graphs with no vertex-minor isomorphic to a fixed wheel graph by Choi, Kwon, Oum, and Wollan [CKOW19].

James Davies resolved the conjecture as follows.

**Theorem 11.1** (Davies [Dav22b]). *For every graph  $H$ , the class of graphs with no vertex-minor isomorphic to  $H$  is  $\chi$ -bounded.*

Davies [Dav23b] also announced a strengthening of Theorem 11.1, which stated that the class of graphs with no pivot-minor isomorphic to a fixed graph is  $\chi$ -bounded.

If the  $\chi$ -bounding function can be taken as a polynomial, then the class is called *polynomially  $\chi$ -bounded*. Kim, Kwon, Oum, and Sivaraman [KKOS20] proposed the following conjecture and proved it when  $H$  is a cycle.

**Conjecture 11.2** (Kim, Kwon, Oum, and Sivaraman [KKOS20]). *For every graph  $H$ , the class of graphs with no vertex-minor isomorphic to  $H$  is polynomially  $\chi$ -bounded.*

### 11.1 Circle graphs

Gyárfás [Gyá85, Gyá86] showed that circle graphs are  $\chi$ -bounded but until recently it was an open problem to decide whether or not circle graphs are polynomially  $\chi$ -bounded. This is now proven by Davies and McCarty.

**Theorem 11.3** (Davies and McCarty [DM21]). *If  $G$  is a circle graph, then  $\chi(G) \leq 7\omega(G)^2$ .*

Davies further improves the  $\chi$ -bounding function.

**Theorem 11.4** (Davies [Dav22a]). *If  $G$  is a circle graph, then  $\chi(G) \leq O(\omega(G) \log(\omega(G)))$ .*

He also improves an existing lower bound for the  $\chi$ -bounding function for circle graphs.

**Theorem 11.5** (Davies [Dav22a]). *For every positive integer  $k$ , there is a circle graph  $G$  such that  $\omega(G) \leq k$  and  $\chi(G) \geq k \ln k - 2k$ .*

Using Theorems 9.1, 11.3, and Theorem 1.2 of Kim, Kwon, Oum, and Sivaraman [KKOS20], we deduce the following.

**Theorem 11.6.** *The class of graphs having no vertex-minors isomorphic to  $W_5$  is polynomially  $\chi$ -bounded.*

## 11.2 Rank-width and polynomial $\chi$ -boundedness

**Theorem 11.7** (Bonamy and Pilipczuk [BP20]). *Every class of graphs with bounded rank-width is polynomially  $\chi$ -bounded.*

Now, Theorem 11.7 is implied by results on twin-width, a relatively new width parameter introduced by Bonnet, Kim, Thomassé, and Watrigant [BKTW22]. They showed that if a class of graphs has bounded rank-width, then it has bounded twin-width. Bonnet, Geniet, Kim, Thomassé, and Watrigant [BGK<sup>+</sup>20, BGK<sup>+</sup>21] showed that every class of graphs of bounded twin-width is  $\chi$ -bounded. Pilipczuk and Sokołowski [PS23] showed that the  $\chi$ -bounding function can be taken as a quasi-polynomial. Recently, Bourneuf and Thomassé [BT23] showed that a class of graphs of bounded twin-width is polynomially  $\chi$ -bounded. This implies Theorem 11.7.

Here is a corollary of Theorems 11.7 and 2.2 which verifies Conjecture 11.2 when  $H$  is a circle graph.

**Corollary 11.8.** *Let  $H$  be a circle graph. The class of graphs with no vertex-minor isomorphic to  $H$  is polynomially  $\chi$ -bounded.*

Corollary 11.8 implies the result of Kim, Kwon, Oum, and Sivaraman [KKOS20] for graphs having no vertex-minor isomorphic to  $C_n$ .

The degree of a  $\chi$ -bounding function for the class of graphs of rank-width at most  $k$  cannot be independent of  $k$ .

**Theorem 11.9** (Bonamy and Pilipczuk [BP20, Lemma 5.2]). *For every  $k$ , if  $f$  is a  $\chi$ -bounding polynomial for the class of graphs of rank-width at most  $k$ , then the degree of  $f$  is at least  $\Omega(\log k)$ .*

## 11.3 Linear rank-width and linear $\chi$ -boundedness

If the  $\chi$ -bounded function can be taken as a linear, then the class is called *linearly  $\chi$ -bounded*.

**Theorem 11.10** (Nešetřil, Ossona de Mendez, Rabinovich, and Siebertz [NOdMRS21]). *Every class of graphs with bounded linear rank-width is linearly  $\chi$ -bounded.*

By Theorem 10.1(i), graphs without a vertex-minor isomorphic to  $P_n$  have bounded rank-depth. Since graphs of bounded rank-depth have bounded linear rank-width, we deduce the following result from Theorem 11.10. This improves the previous result by Kim, Kwon, Oum, and Sivaraman [KKOS20], who showed that a class of graphs with no vertex-minor isomorphic to  $P_n$  is polynomially  $\chi$ -bounded.

**Corollary 11.11.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Every class of graphs with no vertex-minors isomorphic to  $P_n$  is linearly  $\chi$ -bounded.*

If the class of graphs with no vertex-minors isomorphic to a graph  $H$  is linearly  $\chi$ -bounded, then  $H$  is a circle graph by Theorem 11.5. It will be interesting to determine graphs  $H$  such that the class of graphs with no vertex-minor isomorphic to  $H$  is linearly  $\chi$ -bounded. Nešetřil et al. [NOdMRS21, Theorem 3.7] showed that there is a class of graphs of bounded rank-width which is not linearly  $\chi$ -bounded.

## 11.4 Erdős-Hajnal property

A class  $\mathcal{G}$  of graphs closed under taking induced subgraphs has the *Erdős-Hajnal property* if there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that every graph  $G$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  has an independent set or a clique of size at least  $|V(G)|^\varepsilon$ , where a *clique* in a graph is a set of pairwise adjacent vertices, and an *independent set* in a graph is a set of pairwise non-adjacent vertices. Erdős and Hajnal [EH89] conjectured that for every graph  $H$ , the class of graphs with no induced subgraph isomorphic to  $H$  has the Erdős-Hajnal property. Chudnovsky and Oum [CO18] proved the affirmative result for a class of graphs forbidding any graph as a vertex-minor.

**Theorem 11.12** (Chudnovsky and Oum [CO18]). *For every graph  $H$ , the class of graphs with no vertex-minor isomorphic to  $H$  has the Erdős-Hajnal property.*

They indeed proved the following stronger theorem. In a graph, two disjoint sets  $A$  and  $B$  of vertices is *complete* if every vertex in  $A$  is adjacent to all vertices in  $B$ , and *anticomplete* if there is no edge between  $A$  and  $B$ .

**Theorem 11.13** (Chudnovsky and Oum [CO18]). *For every graph  $H$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for each integer  $n \geq 2$ , every  $n$ -vertex graph with no vertex-minor isomorphic to  $H$  has a pair of disjoint vertex sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $|A|, |B| \geq \varepsilon n$  and  $A$  is either complete or anticomplete to  $B$ .*

We remark that Conjecture 11.2 implies Theorem 11.12. Davies [Dav23a] proved the following strengthening of Theorem 11.13.

**Theorem 11.14** (Davies [Dav23a]). *For every graph  $H$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for each integer  $n \geq 2$ , every  $n$ -vertex graph with no pivot-minor isomorphic to  $H$  has a pair of disjoint vertex sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $|A|, |B| \geq \varepsilon n$  and  $A$  is either complete or anticomplete to  $B$ .*

## 12 Testing vertex-minors

The notion of vertex-minors is not only interesting in graph theory but also in quantum information theory, because of its connection to graph states. A graph state is represented by a graph whose vertices represent qubits of the graph state. Dahlberg, Helsen, and Wehner [DHW20b] described this connection as follows:

Graph states are ubiquitous in quantum information with diverse applications ranging from quantum network protocols to measurement based quantum computing. Here we consider the question whether one graph (source) state can be transformed into another graph (target) state, using a specific set of quantum operations (LC + LPM + CC): single-qubit Clifford operations (LC), single-qubit Pauli measurements (LPM) and classical communication (CC) between sites holding the individual qubits. . . . Our results make use of the insight that deciding whether a graph state  $|G\rangle$  can be transformed to another graph state  $|G'\rangle$  is equivalent to a known decision problem in graph theory, namely the problem of deciding whether a graph  $G'$  is a vertex-minor of a graph  $G$ .

Bouchet [Bou91a] presented a polynomial-time algorithm deciding whether two graphs are locally equivalent, by observing that this problem is equivalent to finding a solution of a system of equations over the binary field.

**Theorem 12.1** (Bouchet [Bou91a]). *There is an  $O(n^4)$ -time algorithm to decide whether two  $n$ -vertex graphs on the same vertex set are locally equivalent.*

Dahlberg, Helsen, and Wehner [DHW20a] proved that counting locally equivalent graphs is #P-complete by reducing this problem on circle graphs to the problem of counting Eulerian circuits in a 4-regular graph.

**Theorem 12.2** (Dahlberg, Helsen, and Wehner [DHW20a, Theorem V.1]). *Computing the number of graphs locally equivalent to an input graph is #P-complete, even if the input graph is a circle graph.*

Unlike the problem of deciding the local equivalence of two graphs, it is NP-complete to decide whether one graph is a vertex-minor of another graph or isomorphic to a vertex-minor of another graph.

**Theorem 12.3** (Dahlberg, Helsen, and Wehner [DHW20b, Theorem 3.1]). *Deciding whether  $H$  is a vertex-minor of  $G$  for two input graphs  $G$  and  $H$  with  $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$  is NP-complete, even if  $H$  is a complete graph and  $G$  is a circle graph.*

**Theorem 12.4** (Dahlberg, Helsen, and Wehner [DHW22]). *Deciding whether  $H$  is isomorphic to a vertex-minor of  $G$  for two input graphs  $G$  and  $H$  is NP-complete, even if both  $G$  and  $H$  are circle graphs.*

It is also NP-complete for pivot-minors.

**Theorem 12.5** (Dabrowski, Dross, Jeong, Kanté, Kwon, Oum, and Paulusma [DDJ<sup>+</sup>18]). *Deciding whether  $H$  is isomorphic to a pivot-minor of  $G$  for two input graphs  $G$  and  $H$  is NP-complete, even if  $H$  is a star graph and  $G$  is bipartite.*

Courcelle and Oum [CO07] constructed a modulo-2 counting monadic second-order transduction that maps a graph into the set of all vertex-minors and this allows them to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 12.6** (Courcelle and Oum [CO07]). *Let  $H$  be a fixed graph and  $t$  be a constant. There is an  $O(n^3)$ -time algorithm to certify that an input  $n$ -vertex graph has rank-width larger than  $t$  or decide whether it contains a vertex-minor isomorphic to  $H$ .*

By Theorems 2.2 and 12.6, we deduce the following.

**Theorem 12.7.** *For each fixed circle graph  $H$ , there is an  $O(n^3)$ -time algorithm to decide whether an input  $n$ -vertex graph  $G$  contains a vertex-minor isomorphic to  $H$ .*

It is not known whether we can remove isomorphisms in the previous theorem. Dahlberg, Helsen, and Wehner [DHW20b] considered this problem when the input graph is a circle graph and  $H$  is a complete graph. By using the method of Courcelle and Oum [CO07], it is straightforward to deduce the following algorithm to decide whether an input graph of small rank-width contains a fixed vertex-minor on a particular vertex set.

**Theorem 12.8** (Courcelle and Oum [CO07]). *Let  $H$  be a fixed graph and  $t$  be a constant. There is an  $O(n^3)$ -time algorithm to certify that an input  $n$ -vertex graph  $G$  with  $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$  has rank-width larger than  $t$  or decide whether it contains  $H$  as a vertex-minor.*

## 13 Interlace polynomials

We will review the interlace polynomials and the global interlace polynomials. We remark that Bouchet [Bou91b] defined the restricted Tutte-Martin polynomial and the global Tutte-Martin polynomial of an isotropic system  $S$ , which are identical to the interlace polynomial and the global interlace polynomial of a fundamental graph of  $S$ , respectively, as observed in [Bou05]. A motivation of Bouchet was to unify Tutte polynomials of binary matroids with Martin polynomials introduced by Martin [Mar77] for 4-regular graphs and 2-in 2-out digraphs. For more details, there is a well-written survey by Brijder and Jan Hoogeboom [BJH22].

Arratia, Bollobás, and Sorkin [ABS04] defined (single-variable) interlace polynomials of graphs recursively but later Aigner and van der Holst [AvdH04] presented the following equivalent definition. Let  $A$  be the adjacency matrix of a graph  $G$  over the binary field. For a subset  $S$  of  $V(G)$ , we write  $A[S]$  to denote the  $S \times S$  principal submatrix of  $A$ . The (single-variable) *interlace polynomial* of  $G$  is defined as

$$q(G, x) = \sum_{S \subseteq V(G)} (x - 1)^{|S| - \text{rank}(A[S])}.$$

**Theorem 13.1** (Arratia, Bollobás, and Sorkin [ABS04, Theorem 12], Aigner and van der Holst [AvdH04, Corollary 1]). *The interlace polynomial is the unique map  $q$  satisfying the following two conditions.*

(i) *If a graph  $G$  has an edge  $uv$ , then*

$$q(G, x) = q(G - u, x) + q(G \wedge uv - u, x).$$

(ii) *If a graph  $G$  has  $n$  vertices and no edges, then  $q(G, x) = x^n$ .*

Aigner and van der Holst [AvdH04] observed the following corollary of Theorem 13.1.

**Corollary 13.2.** *If  $G$  and  $G'$  are pivot-equivalent, then  $q(G, x) = q(G', x)$ .*

A theorem of Martin [Mar77] implies that the interlace polynomial of a fundamental graph of a planar graph  $H$  is a restriction of the Tutte polynomial of  $H$ . The following generalization shows that the interlace polynomial of a bipartite graph is identical to a restriction of the Tutte polynomial of a corresponding binary matroid.

**Theorem 13.3** (Aigner and van der Holst [AvdH04, Theorem 3], Bouchet [Bou91b]). *Let  $M$  be a binary matroid and  $G$  be its fundamental graph. Then  $q(G, x) = T_M(x, x)$ , where  $T_M(x, y)$  is the Tutte polynomial of  $M$ .*

Aigner and van der Holst [AvdH04] defined another polynomial  $Q(G, x)$  satisfying a 3-term recurrence relation. Let  $A$  be the adjacency matrix of a graph  $G$  over the binary field and for a set  $X$  of vertices, let  $I_X$  be the  $V(G) \times V(G)$  diagonal matrix over the binary field such that the  $(v, v)$ -entry is 1 if and only if  $v \in X$ . We define the *global interlace polynomial* as

$$Q(G, x) = \sum_{T \subseteq S \subseteq V(G)} (x - 2)^{|S| - \text{rank}((A + I_T)[S])}.$$

**Theorem 13.4** (Aigner and van der Holst [AvdH04, Section 4]). *The global interlace polynomial is the unique map  $Q$  satisfying the following two conditions.*

(i) *If a graph  $G$  has an edge  $uv$ , then*

$$Q(G, x) = Q(G - u, x) + Q(G * u - u, x) + Q(G \wedge uv - u, x).$$

(ii) *If a graph  $G$  has  $n$  vertices and no edges, then  $Q(G, x) = x^n$ .*

This theorem implies that two locally equivalent graphs deduce the same global interlace polynomial.

**Corollary 13.5** (Aigner and van der Holst [AvdH04, Corollary 4]). *If  $G$  and  $G'$  are locally equivalent, then  $Q(G, x) = Q(G', x)$ .*

## 14 Isotropic systems

Isotropic systems, defined by Bouchet [Bou87b], are maximally isotropic subspace of even-dimensional vector space over the binary field equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form. An isotropic system is particularly useful for graphs with the vertex-minor relation since it corresponds to a local equivalence class of graphs [Bou88a], and its connectivity function is equal to the cut-rank function of its fundamental graphs [Bou89]. Isotropic systems have been used as a key tool in [CO07, Oum08a, KO23].

Let  $K$  be a 2-dimensional vector space over the binary field, and we denote by  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  the non-zero elements of  $K$ . Let  $\langle \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} \rangle_K := ad + bc$  with elements  $a, b, c, d$  in the binary field. For a finite set  $V$ , a subspace  $L$  of a vector space  $K^V$  is *isotropic* if for every  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in L$ ,  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0$  where  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle := \sum_{i \in V} \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i \rangle_K$ . Note that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a nondegenerate bilinear form, and so the dimension of every maximal isotropic space is at most  $\frac{1}{2} \dim K^V = |V|$ . An *isotropic system* is a pair  $S = (V, L)$  of a finite set  $V$  and an isotropic subspace  $L$  of  $K^V$  with  $\dim L = |V|$ . A vector  $\mathbf{a} \in K^n$  is an *Eulerian* vector of an isotropic system  $W$  if  $\mathbf{a}[X] \notin L$  for every nonempty subset  $X$  of  $V$ , where  $\mathbf{a}[X]$  is a vector in  $K^V$  such that  $\mathbf{a}[X](v) = \mathbf{a}(v)$  if  $v \in X$  and  $\mathbf{a}[X](v) = 0$  otherwise.

Two vectors  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  are *supplementary* if  $\mathbf{a}(v)$  and  $\mathbf{b}(v)$  are nonzero and distinct for every  $v \in V$ .

**Theorem 14.1** (Bouchet [Bou88a, (3.1)]). *Let  $G$  be a graph on the vertex set  $V$  and let  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  be supplementary vectors in  $K^V$ . Let  $L$  be the vector space spanned by  $\mathbf{a}[N_G(v)] + \mathbf{b}[\{v\}]$  with  $v \in V$ . Then  $S = (V, L)$  is an isotropic system.*

In the previous theorem, we call a triple  $(G, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$  a *graphic presentation* of the resulting isotropic system, and call  $G$  a *fundamental graph*. Conversely, each isotropic system induces a graph as follows.

**Theorem 14.2** (Bouchet [Bou88a, (4.6)]). *Every isotropic system admits a graphic presentation.*

**Theorem 14.3** (Bouchet [Bou88a, (7.1) and (7.6)] and Oum [Oum04, Proposition 10.1]). *Let  $(G_1, \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{b}_1)$  and  $(G_2, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{b}_2)$  be graphic presentations of the same isotropic system. Then they are locally equivalent. Furthermore, if  $\{\mathbf{a}_1(v), \mathbf{b}_1(v)\} = \{\mathbf{a}_2(v), \mathbf{b}_2(v)\}$  for every  $v \in V(G_1)$ , then  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are pivot-equivalent.*

An isotropic system might have distinct graphic presentations inducing the same fundamental graph. However, Bouchet [Bou91a, (2.5)] showed that regardless of a fundamental graph  $G$  of an isotropic system  $S$ , the number of graphic presentations  $(H, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$  of  $S$  such that  $H = G$  is the same.

**Theorem 14.4** (Bouchet [Bou88a, (7.6) and (8.3)]). *Let  $S = (V, L)$  be an isotropic system and  $(G, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$  be its graphic presentation. For a vertex  $u$  and an edge  $vw$  of  $G$ , both  $(G * u, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}[\{u\}], \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{a}[N_G(u)])$  and  $(G \wedge vw, \mathbf{a}[V - \{v, w\}] + [\{v, w\}], \mathbf{b}[V - \{v, w\}] + \mathbf{a}[\{v, w\}])$  are graphic presentations of  $S$ .*

Minors of an isotropic system are defined well by the next theorem. For  $L \subseteq K^V$ ,  $x \in K - \{0\}$ , and  $v \in V$ , let  $L|_x^v := \{p(\mathbf{x}) : \langle \mathbf{x}(v), x \rangle_K = 0\}$  where  $p : K^V \rightarrow K^{V - \{v\}}$  be the canonical projection.

**Theorem 14.5** (Bouchet [Bou87b, (8.1)] and [Bou88a, (9.1)]). *Let  $S = (V, L)$  be an isotropic system with the graphic presentation  $(G, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ . For each  $x \in K$  and  $v \in V$ ,  $S|_x^v := (V - \{v\}, L|_x^v)$  is an isotropic system and one of the following is its graphic presentation.*

- (i)  $(G - v, p(\mathbf{a}), p(\mathbf{b}))$  if  $x = \mathbf{a}(v)$  or  $v$  is an isolated vertex in  $G$ ,
- (ii)  $(G \wedge vw - v, p(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}[\{w\}], p(\mathbf{b}_a[\{w\}]))$  if  $x = \mathbf{b}(v)$  and  $w$  is a neighbor of  $v$  in  $G$ , and
- (iii)  $(G * v - v, p(\mathbf{a}), p(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{a}[N_G(v)]))$  otherwise.

Theorem 3.1 is an immediate corollary of Theorem 14.5.

## 15 Conclusions

We conclude this paper by presenting several conjectures motivated by the Graph Minors Project of Robertson and Seymour. The following conjecture is one of the central open problems on vertex-minors.

**Conjecture 9.7.** *Graphs are well-quasi-ordered by the vertex-minor relation.*

If true, then for every class  $\mathcal{C}$  of graphs closed under taking vertex-minor, there is a finite list of graphs  $G_1, \dots, G_k$  such that a graph  $H$  is in  $\mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $H$  has no vertex-minor isomorphic to any  $G_i$ .

Here is a conjecture analogous to the algorithm of Robertson and Seymour [RS95] on testing minors.

**Conjecture 15.1.** *For every fixed graph  $H$ , there is a polynomial-time algorithm to decide whether an input graph  $G$  contains a vertex-minor isomorphic to  $H$ .*

If Conjectures 9.7 and 15.1 hold, then for every class  $\mathcal{C}$  of graphs closed under taking vertex-minors, there is a polynomial-time algorithm to decide whether an input graph is in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

For the application to graph states in the quantum information theory, it is interesting to find a vertex-minor on a fixed set of vertices.

**Conjecture 15.2.** *For every fixed graph  $H$ , there is a polynomial-time algorithm to decide whether an input graph  $G$  with  $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$  contains  $H$  as a vertex-minor.*

Motivated by the graph structure theorem [RS03] and the Matroid Minors Project [GGW05], Geelen proposed a structural conjecture for vertex-minors. For an integer  $k \geq 1$ , we say a graph  $G$  is  *$k$ -rank-connected* if  $|V(G)| \geq 2k$  and  $\rho_G(X) \geq \min(|X|, |V(G) - X|, k)$  for each  $X \subseteq V(G)$ . A graph  $G$  is a *rank- $k$  perturbation* of a graph  $H$  if the adjacency matrix of  $G$  can be obtained from the adjacency matrix of  $H$  by adding a diagonal matrix and a matrix of rank at most  $k$  over the binary field.

**Conjecture 15.3** (Weak structural conjecture; see [McC21]). *For every fixed graph  $H$ , there are positive integers  $k$  and  $p$  such that every  $k$ -rank-connected graph with no vertex-minor isomorphic to  $H$  is a rank- $p$  perturbation of a circle graph.*

Geelen proposed a stronger version of this conjecture, see [McC21, page 23].

## References

- [ABS04] Richard Arratia, Béla Bollobás, and Gregory B. Sorkin, *The interlace polynomial of a graph*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **92** (2004), no. 2, 199–233.
- [AFP14] Isolde Adler, Arthur M. Farley, and Andrzej Proskurowski, *Obstructions for linear rank-width at most 1*, Discrete Appl. Math. **168** (2014), 3–13. MR 3168011
- [AK15] Isolde Adler and Mamadou Moustapha Kanté, *Linear rank-width and linear clique-width of trees*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **589** (2015), 87–98. MR 3350887
- [All94] Loïc Allys, *Minimally 3-connected isotropic systems*, Combinatorica **14** (1994), no. 3, 247–262. MR 95m:05066
- [AvdH04] Martin Aigner and Hein van der Holst, *Interlace polynomials*, Linear Algebra Appl. **377** (2004), 11–30. MR 2 021 600



- [BGK<sup>+</sup>20] Édouard Bonnet, Colin Geniet, Eun Jung Kim, Stéphan Thomassé, and Rémi Watrigant, *Twin-width III: Max independent set, min dominating set, and coloring*, arXiv:2007.14161, 2020.
- [BGK<sup>+</sup>21] Édouard Bonnet, Colin Geniet, Eun Jung Kim, Stéphan Thomassé, and Rémi Watrigant, *Twin-width III: Max independent set, min dominating set, and coloring*, 48th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming, LIPIcs. Leibniz Int. Proc. Inform., vol. 198, Schloss Dagstuhl. Leibniz-Zent. Inform., Wadern, 2021, pp. Art. 35, 20. MR 4288865
- [BJH22] Robert Brijder and Hendrik Jan Hoogeboom, *The interlace polynomial and the Tutte-Martin polynomial*, Handbook of the Tutte polynomial and related topics (Joanna A. Ellis-Monaghan and Iain Moffatt, eds.), CRC Press, 2022, pp. 284–303.
- [BKTW22] Édouard Bonnet, Eun Jung Kim, Stéphan Thomassé, and Rémi Watrigant, *Twin-width I: Tractable FO model checking*, J. ACM **69** (2022), no. 1, Art. 3, 46. MR 4402362
- [BM86] Hans-Jürgen Bandelt and Henry Martyn Mulder, *Distance-hereditary graphs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **41** (1986), no. 2, 182–208. MR 87k:05142
- [Bou87a] André Bouchet, *Greedy algorithm and symmetric matroids*, Math. Programming **38** (1987), no. 2, 147–159. MR 904585 (89a:05046)
- [Bou87b] ———, *Isotropic systems*, European J. Combin. **8** (1987), no. 3, 231–244. MR 89b:05066
- [Bou87c] ———, *Reducing prime graphs and recognizing circle graphs*, Combinatorica **7** (1987), no. 3, 243–254. MR 89e:05163
- [Bou87d] ———, *Unimodularity and circle graphs*, Discrete Math. **66** (1987), no. 1-2, 203–208. MR 88i:05153
- [Bou88a] ———, *Graphic presentations of isotropic systems*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **45** (1988), no. 1, 58–76. MR 89f:05150
- [Bou88b] ———, *Representability of  $\Delta$ -matroids*, Combinatorics (Eger, 1987), Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, vol. 52, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988, pp. 167–182. MR 1221555 (94b:05043)
- [Bou88c] ———, *Transforming trees by successive local complementations*, J. Graph Theory **12** (1988), no. 2, 195–207. MR 89i:05252
- [Bou89] ———, *Connectivity of isotropic systems*, Combinatorial Mathematics: Proceedings of the Third International Conference (New York, 1985) (New York), Ann. New York Acad. Sci., vol. 555, New York Acad. Sci., 1989, pp. 81–93. MR 90i:05023
- [Bou90] ———,  *$\kappa$ -transformations, local complementations and switching*, Cycles and rays (Montreal, PQ, 1987), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 301, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1990, pp. 41–50. MR 92a:05082
- [Bou91a] ———, *An efficient algorithm to recognize locally equivalent graphs*, Combinatorica **11** (1991), no. 4, 315–329. MR 92m:05178

- [Bou91b] ———, *Tutte-Martin polynomials and orienting vectors of isotropic systems*, Graphs Combin. **7** (1991), no. 3, 235–252. MR 92i:05071
- [Bou94] ———, *Circle graph obstructions*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **60** (1994), no. 1, 107–144. MR 95d:05039
- [Bou05] ———, *Graph polynomials derived from Tutte-Martin polynomials*, Discrete Math. **302** (2005), no. 1-3, 32–38. MR 2179634 (2006f:05034)
- [BP20] Marthe Bonamy and Michał Pilipczuk, *Graphs of bounded cliquewidth are polynomially  $\chi$ -bounded*, Adv. Comb. (2020), Paper No. 8, 21. MR 4125349
- [BT16] Robert Brijder and Lorenzo Traldi, *Isotropic matroids II: Circle graphs*, Electron. J. Combin. **23** (2016), no. 4, Paper 4.2, 38. MR 3577649
- [BT23] Romain Bourneuf and Stéphan Thomassé, *Bounded twin-width graphs are polynomially  $\chi$ -bounded*, arXiv:2303.11231, 2023.
- [CdMR12] Pierre Charbit, Fabien de Montgolfier, and Mathieu Raffinot, *Linear time split decomposition revisited*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **26** (2012), no. 2, 499–514. MR 2967479
- [CER93] Bruno Courcelle, Joost Engelfriet, and Grzegorz Rozenberg, *Handle-rewriting hypergraph grammars*, J. Comput. System Sci. **46** (1993), no. 2, 218–270. MR 94i:68164
- [CKOW19] Hojin Choi, O-joung Kwon, Sang-il Oum, and Paul Wollan, *Chi-boundedness of graph classes excluding wheel vertex-minors*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **135** (2019), 319–348.
- [CO00] Bruno Courcelle and Stephan Olariu, *Upper bounds to the clique width of graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. **101** (2000), no. 1-3, 77–114. MR 1743732
- [CO07] Bruno Courcelle and Sang-il Oum, *Vertex-minors, monadic second-order logic, and a conjecture by Seese*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **97** (2007), no. 1, 91–126.
- [CO18] Maria Chudnovsky and Sang-il Oum, *Vertex-minors and the Erdős-Hajnal conjecture*, Discrete Math. **341** (2018), no. 12, 3498–3499. MR 3862646
- [Cun82] William H. Cunningham, *Decomposition of directed graphs*, SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods **3** (1982), no. 2, 214–228. MR 84e:05054
- [Dah00] Elias Dahlhaus, *Parallel algorithms for hierarchical clustering and applications to split decomposition and parity graph recognition*, J. Algorithms **36** (2000), no. 2, 205–240. MR 1769515
- [Dav22a] James Davies, *Improved bounds for colouring circle graphs*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **150** (2022), 5121–5135.
- [Dav22b] ———, *Vertex-minor-closed classes are  $\chi$ -bounded*, Combinatorica **42** (2022), no. suppl. 1, 1049–1079.
- [Dav23a] ———, *Pivot-minors and the Erdős-Hajnal conjecture*, arXiv:2305.09133, 2023.
- [Dav23b] ———, *Two structural results for pivot-minors*, Discrete Math Seminar (April 11), IBS Discrete Mathematics Group, Daejeon, South Korea, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zBCw2GxQI-I>, 2023.

- [DDJ<sup>+</sup>18] Konrad K. Dabrowski, François Dross, Jisu Jeong, Mamadou Moustapha Kanté, O-joung Kwon, Sang-il Oum, and Daniël Paulusma, *Computing small pivot-minors*, Graph-theoretic concepts in computer science, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 11159, Springer, Cham, 2018, pp. 125–138. MR 3854768
- [DDJ<sup>+</sup>21] Konrad K. Dabrowski, François Dross, Jisu Jeong, Mamadou Moustapha Kanté, O-joung Kwon, Sang-il Oum, and Daniël Paulusma, *Tree pivot-minors and linear rank-width*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **35** (2021), no. 4, 2922–2945.
- [dF81] Hubert de Fraysseix, *Local complementation and interlacement graphs*, Discrete Math. **33** (1981), no. 1, 29–35. MR 81m:05055
- [DHW20a] Axel Dahlberg, Jonas Helsen, and Stephanie Wehner, *Counting single-qubit Clifford equivalent graph states is  $\#\mathbb{P}$ -complete*, J. Math. Phys. **61** (2020), no. 2, 022202, 12. MR 4061397
- [DHW20b] ———, *How to transform graph states using single-qubit operations: computational complexity and algorithms*, Quantum Science and Technology **5** (2020), 045016.
- [DHW22] ———, *The complexity of the vertex-minor problem*, Inform. Process. Lett. **175** (2022), Paper No. 106222, 8. MR 4343704
- [DK12] Zdeněk Dvořák and Daniel Král', *Classes of graphs with small rank decompositions are  $\chi$ -bounded*, European J. Combin. **33** (2012), no. 4, 679–683. MR 3350076
- [DKO20] Matt DeVos, O-joung Kwon, and Sang-il Oum, *Branch-depth: Generalizing tree-depth of graphs*, European J. Combin. **90** (2020), Article 103186.
- [DM21] James Davies and Rose McCarty, *Circle graphs are quadratically  $\chi$ -bounded*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **53** (2021), no. 3, 673–679. MR 4275079
- [EH89] Paul Erdős and András Hajnal, *Ramsey-type theorems*, Discrete Appl. Math. **25** (1989), no. 1-2, 37–52, Combinatorics and complexity (Chicago, IL, 1987). MR 1031262
- [FDF88] D. G. Fon-Der-Flaass, *On local complementations of graphs*, Combinatorics (Eger, 1987), Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, vol. 52, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988, pp. 257–266. MR 94g:05052
- [FDF89] ———, *Distance between locally equivalent graphs*, Metody Diskret. Analiz. (1989), no. 48, 85–94, 106–107. MR 1089379
- [FDF96] ———, *Local complementations of simple and directed graphs*, Discrete analysis and operations research (Alekseï D. Korshunov, ed.), Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 355, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996, Translation of Sibirsk. Zh. Issled. Oper. **1** (1994), pp. 15–34.
- [Gan11] Robert Ganian, *Thread graphs, linear rank-width and their algorithmic applications*, Combinatorial algorithms, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 6460, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011, pp. 38–42. MR 2788811
- [Gee96] James F. Geelen, *Matchings, matroids and unimodular matrices*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Waterloo, 1996.
- [GGL95] Ronald L. Graham, Martin Grötschel, and László Lovász (eds.), *Handbook of combinatorics. Vol. 1, 2*, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam; MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995. MR 1373655

- [GGW05] James F. Geelen, Bert Gerards, and Geoff Whittle, *On Rota’s conjecture and excluded minors containing large projective geometries*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B (2005). MR 1957478 (2003k:05033)
- [GGW07] Jim Geelen, Bert Gerards, and Geoff Whittle, *Excluding a planar graph from  $GF(q)$ -representable matroids*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **97** (2007), no. 6, 971–998. MR 2354713
- [GGW14] ———, *Solving Rota’s conjecture*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **61** (2014), no. 7, 736–743.
- [GHN<sup>+</sup>12] Robert Ganian, Petr Hliněný, Jaroslav Nešetřil, Jan Obdržálek, Patrice Ossona de Mendez, and Reshma Ramadurai, *When trees grow low: shrubs and fast  $MSO_1$* , Mathematical foundations of computer science 2012, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 7464, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012, pp. 419–430. MR 3030450
- [GHN<sup>+</sup>19] Robert Ganian, Petr Hliněný, Jaroslav Nešetřil, Jan Obdržálek, and Patrice Ossona de Mendez, *Shrub-depth: Capturing height of dense graphs*, Log. Methods Comput. Sci. **15** (2019), no. 1, 7:1–7:25.
- [GKMW23] Jim Geelen, O-joung Kwon, Rose McCarty, and Paul Wollan, *The grid theorem for vertex-minors*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **158** (2023), 93–116.
- [GO09] Jim Geelen and Sang-il Oum, *Circle graph obstructions under pivoting*, J. Graph Theory **61** (2009), no. 1, 1–11.
- [GSH89] Csaba P. Gabor, Kenneth J. Supowit, and Wen Lian Hsu, *Recognizing circle graphs in polynomial time*, J. Assoc. Comput. Mach. **36** (1989), no. 3, 435–473. MR 91e:68069
- [GW05] Frank Gurski and Egon Wanke, *On the relationship between NLC-width and linear NLC-width*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **347** (2005), no. 1-2, 76–89.
- [Gyá85] András Gyárfás, *On the chromatic number of multiple interval graphs and overlap graphs*, Discrete Math. **55** (1985), no. 2, 161–166, Corrigendum in [Gyá86]. MR 798532 (86k:05052)
- [Gyá86] ———, *Corrigendum: “On the chromatic number of multiple interval graphs and overlap graphs” [Discrete Math. **55** (1985), no. 2, 161–166; MR0798532 (86k:05052)]*, Discrete Math. **62** (1986), no. 3, 333. MR 866951 (87k:05079)
- [HKOO16] Petr Hliněný, O-joung Kwon, Jan Obdržálek, and Sebastian Ordyniak, *Tree-depth and vertex-minors*, European J. Combin. **56** (2016), 46–56. MR 3490094
- [HM07] Illya V. Hicks and Nolan B. McMurray, Jr., *The branchwidth of graphs and their cycle matroids*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **97** (2007), no. 5, 681–692. MR 2344132
- [HOSG08] Petr Hliněný, Sang-il Oum, Detlef Seese, and Georg Gottlob, *Width parameters beyond tree-width and their applications*, The Computer Journal **51** (2008), no. 3, 326–362.
- [JKO14] Jisu Jeong, O-joung Kwon, and Sang-il Oum, *Excluded vertex-minors for graphs of linear rank-width at most  $k$* , European J. Combin. **41** (2014), 242–257. MR 3219264
- [Joh02] Carl Darwin Thor Johnson, *Eulerian digraph immersion*, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University, 2002.

- [KK18] Mamadou Moustapha Kanté and O-joung Kwon, *Linear rank-width of distance-hereditary graphs II. Vertex-minor obstructions*, *European J. Combin.* **74** (2018), 110–139. MR 3846230
- [KKKO23] Mamadou Moustapha Kanté, Eun Jung Kim, O-joung Kwon, and Sang-il Oum, *Obstructions for matroids of path-width at most  $k$  and graphs of linear rank-width at most  $k$* , *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **160** (2023), 15–35.
- [KKOS20] Ringi Kim, O-joung Kwon, Sang-il Oum, and Vaidy Sivaraman, *Classes of graphs with no long cycle as a vertex-minor are polynomially  $\chi$ -bounded*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **140** (2020), 372–386. MR 4033105
- [KMOW21] O-joung Kwon, Rose McCarty, Sang-il Oum, and Paul Wollan, *Obstructions for bounded shrub-depth and rank-depth*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **149** (2021), 76–91.
- [KO14a] O-joung Kwon and Sang-il Oum, *Graphs of small rank-width are pivot-minors of graphs of small tree-width*, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **168** (2014), 108–118.
- [KO14b] ———, *Unavoidable vertex-minors in large prime graphs*, *European J. Combin.* **41** (2014), 100–127. MR 3219254
- [KO23] Donggyu Kim and Sang-il Oum, *Prime vertex-minors of a prime graph*, *European J. Combin.* (2023), accepted.
- [Kot68] Anton Kotzig, *Eulerian lines in finite 4-valent graphs and their transformations.*, *Theory of Graphs (Proc. Colloq., Tihany, 1966)*, Academic Press, New York, 1968, pp. 219–230. MR 0248043 (40 #1298)
- [Kot77] ———, *Quelques remarques sur les transformations  $\kappa$* , séminaire Paris, 1977.
- [Lee17] Edward Lee, *Circle graph obstructions*, Master’s thesis, Univ. of Waterloo, 2017.
- [LO23a] Duksang Lee and Sang-il Oum, *A chain theorem for sequentially 3-rank-connected graphs with respect to vertex-minors*, *European J. Combin.* **113** (2023), Paper No. 103761, 20. MR 4611148
- [LO23b] Duksang Lee and Sang-il Oum, *Intertwining connectivities for vertex-minors and pivot-minors*, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **37** (2023), no. 1, 304–314.
- [LR07] Vadim Lozin and Dieter Rautenbach, *The relative clique-width of a graph*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **97** (2007), no. 5, 846–858. MR 2344142
- [Mar77] Pierre Martin, *Énumérations eulériennes dans les multigraphes et invariants de Tutte-Grothendieck*, Ph.D. thesis, Institut National Polytechnique de Grenoble-INPG; Université Joseph-Fourier-Grenoble I, 1977.
- [McC21] Rose McCarty, *Local structure for vertex-minors*, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Waterloo, 2021.
- [MT01] Bojan Mohar and Carsten Thomassen, *Graphs on surfaces*, Johns Hopkins Studies in the Mathematical Sciences, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, 2001. MR 1844449
- [NOdMRS21] Jaroslav Nešetřil, Patrice Ossona de Mendez, Roman Rabinovich, and Sebastian Siebertz, *Classes of graphs with low complexity: The case of classes with bounded linear rankwidth*, *European J. Combin.* **91** (2021), 103223. MR 4161816

- [OS06] Sang-il Oum and Paul Seymour, *Approximating clique-width and branch-width*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **96** (2006), no. 4, 514–528. MR 2232389
- [Oum04] Sang-il Oum, *Well-quasi-ordering of an isotropic system*, Manuscript, 2004.
- [Oum05] ———, *Rank-width and vertex-minors*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **95** (2005), no. 1, 79–100. MR 2156341
- [Oum08a] ———, *Rank-width and well-quasi-ordering*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **22** (2008), no. 2, 666–682. MR 2399371
- [Oum08b] ———, *Rank-width is less than or equal to branch-width*, J. Graph Theory **57** (2008), no. 3, 239–244. MR 2384022
- [Oum09] ———, *Excluding a bipartite circle graph from line graphs*, J. Graph Theory **60** (2009), no. 3, 183–203. MR 2478228
- [Oum12] ———, *Rank-width and well-quasi-ordering of skew-symmetric or symmetric matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **436** (2012), no. 7, 2008–2036.
- [Oum17] ———, *Rank-width: algorithmic and structural results*, Discrete Appl. Math. **231** (2017), 15–24. MR 3695267
- [Oum23] ———, *Rank connectivity and pivot-minors of graphs*, European J. Combin. **108** (2023), 103634.
- [Oxl11] James Oxley, *Matroid theory*, second ed., Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 21, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2011. MR 2849819
- [PS23] Michał Pilipczuk and Marek Sokółowski, *Graphs of bounded twin-width are quasi-polynomially  $\chi$ -bounded*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **161** (2023), 382–406. MR 4568111
- [RS86] Neil Robertson and Paul Seymour, *Graph minors. V. Excluding a planar graph*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **41** (1986), no. 1, 92–114. MR 89m:05070
- [RS91] ———, *Graph minors. X. Obstructions to tree-decomposition*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **52** (1991), no. 2, 153–190. MR 92g:05158
- [RS95] ———, *Graph minors. XIII. The disjoint paths problem*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **63** (1995), no. 1, 65–110. MR 1309358 (97b:05088)
- [RS03] ———, *Graph minors. XVI. Excluding a non-planar graph*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **89** (2003), no. 1, 43–76. MR 1999736 (2004f:05168)
- [RS04] ———, *Graph minors. XX. Wagner’s conjecture*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **92** (2004), no. 2, 325–357. MR 2099147
- [RS10] ———, *Graph minors. XXIII. Nash-Williams’s immersion conjecture*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **100** (2010), no. 2, 181–205.
- [Sey80] Paul Seymour, *Decomposition of regular matroids*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **28** (1980), no. 3, 305–359. MR 579077 (82j:05046)
- [Sey88] ———, *On the connectivity function of a matroid*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **45** (1988), no. 1, 25–30. MR 89i:05089

- [Tuc60] Alan W. Tucker, *A combinatorial equivalence of matrices*, Combinatorial Analysis (Richard Bellman and Marshall Hall, Jr., eds.), American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1960, pp. 129–140. MR 0114760 (22 #5579)
- [Tut65] William T. Tutte, *Menger's theorem for matroids*, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards Sect. B **69B** (1965), 49–53. MR 0179108 (31 #3359)
- [Whi33] Hassler Whitney, *2-Isomorphic Graphs*, Amer. J. Math. **55** (1933), no. 1-4, 245–254. MR 1506961